

# **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

**FY 2000/2001**

## **BIENNIAL BUDGET ESTIMATES**

**(BRAC 93)**



**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT, III  
JUSTIFICATION DATA SUBMITTED TO  
CONGRESS  
FEBRUARY 1999**



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overall Financial Summary	3
2200 - NAS Agana, Guam	5
1650 - NAS Alameda, CA	9
1380 - NADEP Alameda, CA	15
1690 - NRTF, Annapolis, MD	19
1630 - NAS Barbers Point, HI	23
2300 - NAS Cecil Field, FL	29
1430 - Naval Shipyard, Charleston, SC	35
1270 - Naval Station, Charleston, SC	41
1330 - Naval Supply Ctr, Charleston, SC	47
1540 - NAS Dallas, TX	51
1530 - NAF Detroit, MI	57
1700 - NRTF, Driver, VA	61
2080 - MCAS El Toro, CA	65
1520 - NAS Glenview, IL	71
1800 - SEAADSA, Indian Head, MD	77
2070 - NAS Memphis, TN	81
1220 - Naval Air Facility, Midway Island	87
2090 - NAS Miramar, CA	91
1280 - Naval Station, Mobile, AL	97
1240 - NETC, Newport, RI	101
1940 - Family Housing Office, Niagara, NY	105
1400 - NADEP Norfolk, VA	109
2020 - Naval Hospital, Oakland, CA	113
2010 - Naval Hospital, Orlando, FL	117
1580 - Naval Training Ctr, Orlando, FL	121
1390 - NADEP Pensacola, FL	127
1340 - Naval Supply Ctr, Pensacola, FL	131
1780 - Naval Civil Engineering Lab, Port Hueneme, CA	135
1810 - SUBMEPP, Portsmouth, NH	139
1950 - Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Bruno, CA	143
1570 - Naval Training Ctr, San Diego, CA	147
2060 - Public Works Ctr, San Francisco, CA	153
1470 - Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach	157
1260 - Naval Station, Staten Island, NY	161
1150 - Naval Station, Treasure Island, CA	167
1440 - Naval Shipyard, Mare Island, CA	173
1760 - NAWC-Aircraft Division, Trenton, NJ	179
1730 - NCCOSC, ISE (East Coast)	185
1740 - NSWC-White Oak, MD	189
1770 - NUWC, Norfolk, VA	193
1960 - Stand-alone Navy & MC Reserve Centers	197
1790 - PERA Activities	201
ZZZZ - National Capital Region	205
9999 - VARLOCS	213
2320 - Planning, Design & Management	217



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: Financial Summary

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	267,301	532,611	738,264	216,969	130,227	11,100	1,896,472
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(151,534)	(200,222)	(136,334)	(205,771)	(196,468)	(187,528)	(1,077,857)
Studies	2,180	4,046	3,352	1,092	3,940	655	15,265
Compliance	57,825	126,680	87,139	96,945	60,243	37,571	466,403
Restoration	91,529	69,496	45,843	107,734	132,285	149,302	596,189
Operations & Maintenance	327,817	667,008	622,448	232,999	153,804	84,355	2,088,431
Military Personnel - PCS	11,984	21,000	11,703	17,016	9,472	9,734	80,909
Other	25,828	18,255	5,581	4,328	0	1,796	55,788
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>784,464</b>	<b>1,439,096</b>	<b>1,514,330</b>	<b>677,083</b>	<b>489,971</b>	<b>294,513</b>	<b>5,199,457</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	-2,578	0	0	-57	0	-2,635
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>784,464</b>	<b>1,436,518</b>	<b>1,514,330</b>	<b>677,083</b>	<b>489,914</b>	<b>294,513</b>	<b>5,196,822</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-38,460	-29,580	-75,044	-39,052	-13,948	-20,602	-216,686
Family Housing	(-8,117)	(-7,633)	(-27,064)	(-29,814)	(-49,609)	(-86,086)	(-208,323)
Construction	-7,360	0	-11,600	0	0	-37,100	-56,060
Operations	-757	-7,633	-15,464	-29,814	-49,609	-48,986	-152,263
Operations & Maintenance	-46,872	-94,516	-360,911	-539,596	-677,959	-731,553	-2,451,407
Military Personnel - PCS	-6,485	-55,706	-96,796	-190,882	-281,139	-315,852	-946,860
Other	-2,941	-15,114	-120,198	-186,012	-201,716	-205,741	-731,722
Civilian ES (End Strength)	1,092	-9,203	-18,983	-23,123	-22,250	-23,254	-95,721
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-1,936	-4,873	-7,757	-8,241	-8,261	-31,068
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-102,875</b>	<b>-202,549</b>	<b>-680,013</b>	<b>-985,356</b>	<b>-1,224,371</b>	<b>-1,359,834</b>	<b>-4,554,998</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	228,841	503,031	663,220	177,917	116,279	-9,502	1,679,786
Family Housing	(-8,117)	(-7,633)	(-27,064)	(-29,814)	(-49,609)	(-86,086)	(-208,323)
Construction	-7,360	0	-11,600	0	0	-37,100	-56,060
Operations	-757	-7,633	-15,464	-29,814	-49,609	-48,986	-152,263
Environmental	(151,534)	(200,222)	(136,334)	(205,771)	(196,468)	(187,528)	(1,077,857)
Studies	2,180	4,046	3,352	1,092	3,940	655	15,265
Compliance	57,825	126,680	87,139	96,945	60,243	37,571	466,403
Restoration	91,529	69,496	45,843	107,734	132,285	149,302	596,189
Operations & Maintenance	280,945	572,492	261,537	-306,597	-524,155	-647,198	-362,976
Military Personnel - PCS	5,499	-34,706	-85,093	-173,866	-271,667	-306,118	-865,951
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	22,887	3,141	-114,617	-181,684	-201,716	-203,945	-675,934
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	-2,578	0	0	-57	0	-2,635
Civilian ES (End Strength)	1,092	-9,203	-18,983	-23,123	-22,250	-23,254	-95,721
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-1,936	-4,873	-7,757	-8,241	-8,261	-31,068
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>681,589</b>	<b>1,233,969</b>	<b>834,317</b>	<b>-308,273</b>	<b>-734,457</b>	<b>-1,065,321</b>	<b>641,824</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2200 - NAS Agana, Guam

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(6,610)	(9,800)	(1,657)	(12,261)	(5,900)	(6,254)	(42,482)
Studies	0	0	133	10	38	24	205
Compliance	558	300	617	5,214	815	0	7,504
Restoration	6,052	9,500	907	7,037	5,047	6,230	34,773
Operations & Maintenance	3,089	4,425	1,256	729	1,100	387	10,986
Military Personnel - PCS	0	450	0	0	0	0	450
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>9,699</b>	<b>14,675</b>	<b>2,913</b>	<b>12,990</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>6,641</b>	<b>53,918</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>9,699</b>	<b>14,675</b>	<b>2,913</b>	<b>12,990</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>6,641</b>	<b>53,918</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-7,310	0	0	-1,207	0	0	-8,517
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-5,065	-5,206	-5,507	-5,637	-7,700	-7,700	-36,815
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	-11,698	-24,004	-35,702
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	-426	-430	-856
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-12,375</b>	<b>-5,206</b>	<b>-5,507</b>	<b>-6,844</b>	<b>-19,398</b>	<b>-31,704</b>	<b>-81,034</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	-7,310	0	0	-1,207	0	0	-8,517
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(6,610)	(9,800)	(1,657)	(12,261)	(5,900)	(6,254)	(42,482)
Studies	0	0	133	10	38	24	205
Compliance	558	300	617	5,214	815	0	7,504
Restoration	6,052	9,500	907	7,037	5,047	6,230	34,773
Operations & Maintenance	-1,976	-781	-4,251	-4,908	-6,600	-7,313	-25,829
Military Personnel - PCS	0	450	0	0	-11,698	-24,004	-35,252
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	-426	-430	-856
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-2,676</b>	<b>9,469</b>	<b>-2,594</b>	<b>6,146</b>	<b>-12,398</b>	<b>-25,063</b>	<b>-27,116</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2200 - NAS Agana, Guam**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 commission recommended the closure of Naval Air Station (NAS) Agana, relocating Navy aviation units and support tenants to Andersen Air Force Base, Guam. The Navy had plans to retain and continue to utilize family housing units and selected personnel support facilities but this decision was redirected by the BRAC IV Commission which required that all Navy facilities close. NAS was operationally closed on 31 March 1995. The anticipated disposal date for this property is September, 2001.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Impact Statement was initiated in FY 1994. The document was put on hold while the Navy waited for a final reuse plan from the Local Reuse Authority. An approved reuse plan has now been provided and the EIS is anticipated to be complete in 1999. Funding is required to support ROD preparation and NEPA documentation for interim leasing.

Compliance

The closure and removal of Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) and Above Ground Storage Tanks have been completed. All mission-related hazardous material and hazardous wastes were removed from the base prior to closure in April 1995. Abatement of friable, damaged, and accessible asbestos at all leased areas is complete.

Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for mixed use, including continuation of use as an international airport, and development of commercial areas, parkland, schools, and offices. The property will be conveyed to the Government of

Guam via an EDC, and PBCs. There were some Fed to Fed transfers to FAA and the Weather Service to support airport operations.

Installation of a granular activated carbon system has been completed to treat TCEs at the only drinking water production well on the property. Removal actions were completed at the drainage basin holding pond and the former pistol range. Eleven sites have been closed out.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include caretaker, real estate, program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation costs, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary. Real estate costs include labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the properties. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, marketing, and lease arrangements.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

Parcels at NAS Agana are planned for Federal transfers to the Federal Aviation Administration and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, a rural no-cost economic development conveyance (EDC), public benefit conveyances (PBCs) for airport use, homeless use, parks, and a scenic parkway, and negotiated sale. No land sales revenues will be realized from the Federal transfers, the EDC, or the PBCs. Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the negotiated sale is consummated.

#### **SAVINGS**

None.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1650 - NAS Alameda, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	1,950	21,640	0	0	0	23,590
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(21,004)	(13,720)	(12,974)	(24,664)	(25,974)	(5,470)	(103,806)
Studies	0	220	70	93	323	115	821
Compliance	7,789	2,500	2,962	8,751	6,991	1,355	30,348
Restoration	13,215	11,000	9,942	15,820	18,660	4,000	72,637
Operations & Maintenance	4,227	9,275	7,821	14,636	9,667	3,946	49,572
Military Personnel - PCS	1,850	8,347	57	3,932	0	0	14,186
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>27,081</b>	<b>33,292</b>	<b>42,492</b>	<b>43,232</b>	<b>35,641</b>	<b>9,416</b>	<b>191,154</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>27,081</b>	<b>33,292</b>	<b>42,492</b>	<b>43,232</b>	<b>35,641</b>	<b>9,416</b>	<b>191,154</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-4,700	0	-4,635	0	0	0	-9,335
Family Housing	(82)	(489)	(2,546)	(3,745)	(5,998)	(6,148)	(19,008)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	82	489	2,546	3,745	5,998	6,148	19,008
Operations & Maintenance	-4,076	4,051	0	-15,000	-27,634	-28,162	-70,821
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-467	-14,952	-30,053	-30,754	-76,226
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-4	-4	-4	-358	-358	-358	-1,086
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-7	-535	-537	-535	-1,614
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-8,694</b>	<b>4,540</b>	<b>-2,556</b>	<b>-26,207</b>	<b>-51,689</b>	<b>-52,768</b>	<b>-137,374</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	-4,700	1,950	17,005	0	0	0	14,255
Family Housing	(82)	(489)	(2,546)	(3,745)	(5,998)	(6,148)	(19,008)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	82	489	2,546	3,745	5,998	6,148	19,008
Environmental	(21,004)	(13,720)	(12,974)	(24,664)	(25,974)	(5,470)	(103,806)
Studies	0	220	70	93	323	115	821
Compliance	7,789	2,500	2,962	8,751	6,991	1,355	30,348
Restoration	13,215	11,000	9,942	15,820	18,660	4,000	72,637
Operations & Maintenance	151	13,326	7,821	-364	-17,967	-24,216	-21,249
Military Personnel - PCS	1,850	8,347	-410	-11,020	-30,053	-30,754	-62,040
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-4	-4	-4	-358	-358	-358	-1,086
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-7	-535	-537	-535	-1,614
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>18,387</b>	<b>37,832</b>	<b>39,936</b>	<b>17,025</b>	<b>-16,048</b>	<b>-43,352</b>	<b>53,780</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1650 - NAS Alameda, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of Naval Air Station (NAS) Alameda, which supported aviation squadrons, aircraft carriers, and surface operations and training for the U.S. Pacific Fleet. NAS Alameda closed on 30 April 1997. The Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP) Alameda, a tenant of NAS Alameda, has also closed. The Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority has completed a reuse plan. Disposal will be by an economic development conveyance except for transfers of property for a Least Tern Refuge to the Fish and Wildlife Service and housing to the Coast Guard. The anticipated final disposal date of this property is in March 2003.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1995-1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-305T PUGET SOUND NSY	PLAYING FIELDS	1,950
P-298T PORT HADLOCK ORDCTRP	HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES	5,100
P-300T PUGET SOUND NSY	PARKING GARAGE	14,400
P-316T FALLON NAS	BATTALION UNIT EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,140
	Total	23,590

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. The disposal/reuse EIS is in process. A record of decision is expected in 1999. Funding is required to support FEIS and ROD preparation and to support interim leasing.

The BRAC IV closure of FISC Oakland comes under the same LRA and reuse plan as for the BRAC III NAS Alameda closure, so the actual EIS analysis has been integrated into the NAS Alameda BRAC III EIS. Added costs of

modifying the NAS disposal/reuse EIS to include the BRAC IV FISC closure are contained in the budget for BRAC IV FISC Oakland.

#### Compliance

An Underground Storage Tank (UST) program has been executed that will have removed 101 tanks by the end of FY98. An equally aggressive asbestos abatement program will have remediated 106 buildings. All lead based paint surveys have been completed. The LBP surveys of the "Big Whites" (Senior Officers Quarters) in West Housing have been completed and relatively high levels of lead have been found in interior components as expected. Elevated readings of lead in soil were indicated in 8 of 147 soil samples taken. The lead-based paint (LBP) abatement program will remediate LBP from the 19 "Big Whites" in anticipation of the ARRA's desire to lease the premises, consistent with their interim lease plan. Additionally, most of the 30 CPO Quarters and other specified units will be abated to allow the Homeless Collaborative to occupy the facilities towards the end of FY98. Currently, LBP abatement at these units is planned for completion by FY99.

The funding required in FY99-01 is phased to meet regulatory requirements and planned conveyance dates. This budget will fund all environmental compliance requirements (except Long Term Maintenance and Operation needs) by 2001. The LTM/O requirements occurring after FY01 will be funded by Navy O&M,N. The cleanup plan is well coordinated with and supportive of the Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority (ARRA) reuse plan. A secure funding stream is needed to maintain this schedule.

#### Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for mixed use including residential enclaves, light industry, a marina, a golf course, a regional park and a university campus. The property will be conveyed to the Alameda Reuse and Redevelopment Authority (ARRA) via an EDC and PBC; and to DOI for a Least Tern habitat area. The property includes 24 IR sites.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, tenant moving costs, employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, reduction in force costs, travel, and permanent change of station as necessary to support closure of the activity.

Costs also include real estate, caretaker and related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title search, and surveys. Costs associated with interim outleasing of closure property and termination of existing leases are also included.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

Parcels of NAS Alameda are planned for disposal under lease termination, public benefit conveyances, an economic development conveyance (EDC), and Federal transfers. Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the EDC includes monetary compensation to the Navy.

**SAVINGS**

Military Construction

MCON projects which were in the FYDP have been removed

Family Housing Operations

The savings line reflects an increase in the FHN account for new units coming on line at Naval Station Bangor as a result of the relocation from NAS Alameda.

Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.





**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1380 - NADEP Alameda, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	1,700	0	0	0	1,700
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(883)	(9,165)	(9,194)	(9,606)	(0)	(0)	(28,848)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	883	9,165	9,194	9,606	0	0	28,848
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	14,021	55,614	63,789	9,398	1,020	0	143,842
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	115	0	0	0	115
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>14,904</b>	<b>64,779</b>	<b>74,798</b>	<b>19,004</b>	<b>1,020</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>174,505</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>14,904</b>	<b>64,779</b>	<b>74,798</b>	<b>19,004</b>	<b>1,020</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>174,505</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-2,230	0	0	-2,230
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	-47,355	-48,491	-49,655	-145,501
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-24,394	-24,981	-25,580	-74,955
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-1,652	-1,665	-1,709	-1,709	-6,735
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28	-140
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-73,979</b>	<b>-73,472</b>	<b>-75,235</b>	<b>-222,686</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	1,700	-2,230	0	0	-530
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(883)	(9,165)	(9,194)	(9,606)	(0)	(0)	(28,848)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	883	9,165	9,194	9,606	0	0	28,848
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	14,021	55,614	63,789	-37,957	-47,471	-49,655	-1,659
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	115	0	0	0	115
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-24,394	-24,981	-25,580	-74,955
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-1,652	-1,665	-1,709	-1,709	-6,735
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-28	-28	-28	-28	-28	-140
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>14,904</b>	<b>64,779</b>	<b>74,798</b>	<b>-54,975</b>	<b>-72,452</b>	<b>-75,235</b>	<b>-48,181</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1380 - NADEP Alameda, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of the Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP) Alameda, with relocation of workload to other depot maintenance activities at Cherry Point, NC; Jacksonville, FL; and San Diego (North Island), CA. The NADEP was a tenant of the Naval Air Station Alameda, which was also recommended for closure by the 1993 Commission; it closed in September 1996.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

	FY1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-720T NORTH ISLAND NADEP    ADMIN BUILDING	1,700
Subtotal	1,700
Total	1,700

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

There is no separate funding requirement for NADEP Alameda. The funds are included in the Naval Air Station (NAS) Alameda submission.

Installation Restoration

There is no separate funding requirement for NADEP Alameda. The Installation Restoration (IR) sites at this activity are managed by the IR program at NAS Alameda as the host installation and included in the NAS Alameda submission.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs included program management, building closure, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving. Civilian personnel one-time costs included employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support realignment and closure of the activity. Costs also included repairs for shop/hangar space to accept transitioned aircraft and minor construction costs for seven projects.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs were derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

None.

#### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Savings result from the removal of projects which were in the FYDP.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Savings reflected represent the aggregate savings of closing NADEP Alameda and transitioning workload. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from closure of the activity.

#### Other

Savings reflect procurements that will not be funded under the DBOF program because of base closure decisions and customer savings associated with the closure of depot facilities which had excess capacity.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1690 - NRTF, Annapolis, MD

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	215	0	0	0	215
Military Personnel - PCS	40	0	0	0	0	0	40
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>255</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-359	-370	-1,049	-758	-775	-793	-4,104
Military Personnel - PCS	-61	-123	-124	-126	-128	-131	-693
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-18	-18	-18	-18	-18	-90
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-4	-4	-4	-4	-4	-20
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-420</b>	<b>-493</b>	<b>-1,173</b>	<b>-884</b>	<b>-903</b>	<b>-924</b>	<b>-4,797</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-359	-370	-834	-758	-775	-793	-3,889
Military Personnel - PCS	-21	-123	-124	-126	-128	-131	-653
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-18	-18	-18	-18	-18	-90
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-4	-4	-4	-4	-4	-20
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-380</b>	<b>-493</b>	<b>-958</b>	<b>-884</b>	<b>-903</b>	<b>-924</b>	<b>-4,542</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1690 - NRTF, Annapolis, MD**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Disestablish the Naval Radio Transmitting Facility (NRTF) Annapolis. NRTF Annapolis is to be retained by the Navy and ownership will transfer to NAVSTA Annapolis. NRTF's mission cease was 18 January 1996. Operational closure was 30 September 1996.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the closure of the activity.

Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1630 - NAS Barbers Point, HI

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	4,290	0	38,488	77,080	13,119	7,700	140,677
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(3,942)	(6,400)	(507)	(1,129)	(8,346)	(11,739)	(32,063)
Studies	0	700	450	20	90	32	1,292
Compliance	1,685	1,500	53	102	3,908	5,849	13,097
Restoration	2,257	4,200	4	1,007	4,348	5,858	17,674
Operations & Maintenance	588	4,663	2,148	2,197	6,978	9,955	26,529
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	1,669	558	2,227
Other	0	751	0	561	0	0	1,312
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>8,820</b>	<b>11,814</b>	<b>41,143</b>	<b>80,967</b>	<b>30,112</b>	<b>29,952</b>	<b>202,808</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>8,820</b>	<b>11,814</b>	<b>41,143</b>	<b>80,967</b>	<b>30,112</b>	<b>29,952</b>	<b>202,808</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-1,350	-9,800	-7,189	0	0	-1,445	-19,784
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-1,905	1,000	-1,089	-7,179	-7,703	-15,899	-32,775
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-589	-303	-9,940	-20,142	-20,609	-51,583
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-109	-109	-109	-327
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-9	-590	-590	-590	-590	-2,369
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-3,255</b>	<b>-9,389</b>	<b>-8,581</b>	<b>-17,119</b>	<b>-27,845</b>	<b>-37,953</b>	<b>-104,142</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	2,940	-9,800	31,299	77,080	13,119	6,255	120,893
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(3,942)	(6,400)	(507)	(1,129)	(8,346)	(11,739)	(32,063)
Studies	0	700	450	20	90	32	1,292
Compliance	1,685	1,500	53	102	3,908	5,849	13,097
Restoration	2,257	4,200	4	1,007	4,348	5,858	17,674
Operations & Maintenance	-1,317	5,663	1,059	-4,982	-725	-5,944	-6,246
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-589	-303	-9,940	-18,473	-20,051	-49,356
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	751	0	561	0	0	1,312
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-109	-109	-109	-327
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-9	-590	-590	-590	-590	-2,369
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>5,565</b>	<b>2,425</b>	<b>32,562</b>	<b>63,848</b>	<b>2,267</b>	<b>-8,001</b>	<b>98,666</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1630 - NAS Barbers Point, HI**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 BRAC Commission recommended the closure of Naval Air Station (NAS) Barbers Point, which supports five (VP) squadrons, one Light Airborne Multi-Purpose System (LAMPS) helicopter squadron, Executive Transport Department and the U.S. Coast Guard air operations for the central Pacific and Hawaii, and other miscellaneous activities. Aviation squadrons are to be relocated to NAS Whidbey and MCB Hawaii. The Coast Guard will remain at NAS Barbers Point and is presently working with the State for State supported operations. NAS Barbers Point family housing will be retained to address the existing housing shortfalls in the Pearl Harbor region. Operational closure is July 1999. Final disposal is planned for September 2001.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994 - 1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-604T WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER	4,290
P-267T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (PH I)	23,738
P-269T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	AIRCRAFT RINSE FAC MODS	2,100
P-603T WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS	ACFT PARKING APRON ALTERATIONS	2,350
P-605T WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS	FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING ADD'N	3,650
P-608T WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS	HANGAR ALTERATIONS	2,450
P-612T WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS	ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDN	4,200
		-----
	Subtotal	42,778
		FY1997 Amount (\$000) -----
P-268T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	ACFT PARKING APRON (PH II)	9,300
P-270T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	MAINTENANCE HANGAR ALTERATIONS	36,150
P-271T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	RENOVATE ADMIN	2,500
P-272T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	AIMD ALTERATIONS/ADDITIONS	1,300
P-276T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	TRAINING FACILITY	8,600
P-287T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	HELICOPTER LANDING PAD	550
P-288T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	HAZMAT/HAZ WASTE STORAGE	4,600
P-299T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	TACTICAL SUPPORT FACILITY	10,500
P-600T WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS	GSE SHOP	2,980
P-615T WHIDBEY ISLAND NAS	SONOBUOY STORAGE	600
		-----
	Subtotal	77,080
		FY1998 Amount (\$000) -----
P-297T BARKING SANDS PMRF	ORDNANCE FACILITIES	1,175

P-274T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	AVIATION SUPPLY FACS	2,759
P-504T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	UTILITIES UPGRADE	4,139
P-508T KANEOHE BAY MCAS	ORDNANCE FACILITIES	2,146
P-539T PEARL HARBOR PWC	UTILITY SYSTEM MODS	2,900
	Subtotal	13,119
	FY1999	
	Amount	
	(\$000)	
	-----	
P-XXXT WHEELER ARMY AIRFIELD HANGAR RENOVATION		7,700
	Subtotal	7,700
	Total	140,677

#### Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

#### Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

#### Environmental

##### Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse and reuse actions.

An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was initiated in FY 1994 and is expected to be completed in 1999. Funds are required to support the ROD preparation and interim leasing NEPA documentation. An Environmental Assessment (EA) was completed in August 1996 for the relocation of assets to MCAS Kaneohe Bay from NAS Barber's Point.

##### Compliance

Base BRAC funds have been used to complete remediation at 21 former UST sites and to prepare surveys and design documents for removal and assessment of approximately 50 tanks and a fuel line. There are currently seven active USTs and 38 active ASTs in the disposal area at Barbers Point. The base will conduct initial cleaning and assessment. BRAC compliance funds will be used to continue with tank removals and remediation if leaking is noted. An asbestos survey has been conducted. Friable, accessible, and damaged asbestos will be removed and/or repaired. Remediation of asbestos hazards will be conducted at 26 buildings between Summer 1998 and base closure in July 1999. Sediments which exceed Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (RCRA) concentrations will be removed from approximately 90 dry wells between Spring 1998 and base closure in July 1999. No LBP remediation is required.

Oil/water separators which are not required by transferees will be cleaned out and filled with gravel in Spring 1999, when the base no longer requires them. Seven oil/water separators are present at the base.

Funds for updates to the Base Cleanup Plan are also required.

#### Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for mixed use, including Federal agency use, schools, aviation, parks, Navy-retained areas, and homeless assistance. The property will be conveyed to various agencies via mechanisms including PBCs, Fed-to-Fed transfers, special legislation and negotiated sales. A "hot turnover" is planned, so that most property can be transferred as soon as operational closure occurs.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation costs, and tenant moving costs. Also included are the removal and reinstallation of computer systems, P-3 operational flight weapons trainers, LAN systems, and the transfer of aviation supply inventory. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary. Also included are caretaker, real estate, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title search, and surveys.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the base.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

None.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Savings are the result of removing projects from the FYDP

#### Operations and Maintenance

Savings are the result of reductions in base operating support costs, and civilian personnel billets.

### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2300 - NAS Cecil Field, FL

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	3,383	0	3,383
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(4,007)	(13,335)	(4,957)	(6,849)	(14,122)	(8,634)	(51,904)
Studies	0	1,335	197	30	130	183	1,875
Compliance	2,336	6,000	669	2,791	3,998	5,430	21,224
Restoration	1,671	6,000	4,091	4,028	9,994	3,021	28,805
Operations & Maintenance	0	136	213	2,237	700	7,143	10,429
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	2,775	8,000	10,775
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>4,007</b>	<b>13,471</b>	<b>5,170</b>	<b>9,086</b>	<b>20,980</b>	<b>23,777</b>	<b>76,491</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>4,007</b>	<b>13,471</b>	<b>5,170</b>	<b>9,086</b>	<b>20,980</b>	<b>23,777</b>	<b>76,491</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-1,500	-2,200	-12,770	0	0	0	-16,470
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(-300)	(-612)	(-722)	(-1,634)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	-300	-612	-722	-1,634
Operations & Maintenance	-1,856	-2,615	-3,304	-6,373	-19,897	-28,747	-62,792
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-163	-236	-12,315	-23,149	-23,643	-59,506
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-19	-37	-54	-352	-352	-352	-1,166
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-3	-3	-676	-671	-675	-2,028
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-3,356</b>	<b>-4,978</b>	<b>-16,310</b>	<b>-18,988</b>	<b>-43,658</b>	<b>-53,112</b>	<b>-140,402</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	-1,500	-2,200	-12,770	0	3,383	0	-13,087
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(-300)	(-612)	(-722)	(-1,634)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	-300	-612	-722	-1,634
Environmental	(4,007)	(13,335)	(4,957)	(6,849)	(14,122)	(8,634)	(51,904)
Studies	0	1,335	197	30	130	183	1,875
Compliance	2,336	6,000	669	2,791	3,998	5,430	21,224
Restoration	1,671	6,000	4,091	4,028	9,994	3,021	28,805
Operations & Maintenance	-1,856	-2,479	-3,091	-4,136	-19,197	-21,604	-52,363
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-163	-236	-12,315	-20,374	-15,643	-48,731
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-19	-37	-54	-352	-352	-352	-1,166
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-3	-3	-676	-671	-675	-2,028
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>8,493</b>	<b>-11,140</b>	<b>-9,902</b>	<b>-22,678</b>	<b>-29,335</b>	<b>-63,911</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2300 - NAS Cecil Field, FL**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 BRAC Commission recommended the closure of Naval Air Station (NAS), Cecil Field. As a result of the modifications to the receiving sites per BRAC 1995 legislation, NAS Cecil Field will draw down in a phased manner with operational closure by September 1999. Final disposal is planned to be completed by September 2001.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1998 Amount (\$000) -----
P-831T JACKSONVILLE NAS	AVIATION PHYSIOLOGY TRAINING BUI	3,383
	Total	3,383

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was completed in November 1998. A Record of Decision for the relocation of the FA/18s currently at Cecil Field was signed in May 1998. An interim lease categorical exclusion (CE) was completed in November 1996; additional CEs are anticipated in FY 1999-2001.

In FY 1999 a relocation of F/A-18s to NAS Oceana, or other sites determined by Navy, will require an AICUZ study associated with this action. The EIS specifically addresses the AICUZ program and indicates Navy will be updating the AICUZ plan and working with affected municipalities. The funding requirement is for FY 1999, once all aircraft are realigned.

## Compliance

Asbestos and lead based paint surveys are complete. Approximately 18 sites have friable, accessible and damaged asbestos and abatement is required. Minimal lead based paint abatement is required in family housing areas prior to conveyance. The majority of the Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) transformers have been retrofitted. A radiological scoping survey of the Yellow Water Weapons Area has been completed. The Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) surveys are ongoing. Out of 235 USTs on base, 142 have been removed. All USTs are removed when they become inactive. Active tanks will be removed upon facilities closure. A munitions and unexploded ordnance (UXO) survey was completed in FY95 and FY97 and all known UXO was removed and disposed. No additional UXO surveys are planned. An initial Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) and BRAC Cleanup Plan (BCP) have been completed. The initial EBS identified 250 areas of concerns.

Major cleanups include Area of Concern Grey Zone A (Yellow Water Weapons Area), Zone B (Golf Course Residential Housing Area) & Zone D (Industrial Flightline), asbestos remediation, lead based paint abatement and Underground Storage Tank remediations. Removal of petroleum contamination surrounding the Day Tank #2 area is the largest individual requirement.

## Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for aviation, recreation, conservation and mixed (commercial and residential) use. The property will be conveyed to the City of Jacksonville via a combination of EDC and PBC.

NAS Cecil Field was placed on the National Priority List (NPL) in 1989, primarily because of TCE in the groundwater at site 16, the AIMD seepage pit. There are a total of 24 sites. Studies have been completed at all sites. Eight removal actions are complete with another five underway including a remedial action for site 3 (Oil Sludge Disposal Pit) which was funded in FY98. There are five major tank sites including North Fuel Farm, South Fuel Farm, Jet Engine Test Cell and Day Tanks 1 & 2. The North Fuel Farm is the most contaminated with both shallow and deep groundwater plumes.

Funding is required to meet regulatory cleanup requirements and planned conveyance dates. There are 18 IR sites, one RCRA site, and five USTs. Navy annually updates a Site Management Plan with EPA and Florida, and that schedule is reflected in this budget. All work planned for FY 1999-2001 is for implementation of cleanups - remedial action, operations, and long term monitoring. Major action is planned for the Blue 10 ordnance disposal area and the North Fuel Farm/JP5 spill site. The Blue 10 ordnance area is a 50+ acre area contaminated with lead from live fire evolutions. The North Fuel Farm area has significant contamination to the soil and three separate aquifers. This budget will complete all environmental cleanup requirements (except Long Term Maintenance and Operation needs) by 2001. The LTM/O requirements occurring after FY01 will be funded by Environmental Restoration, Navy (ER,N).

### Operations and Maintenance

Activity costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation of simulators, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned closure. Also included are caretaker, real estate, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, and lease arrangements.

### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the base.

### Other

There is a requirement to purchase equipment in support of FA/18 aircraft operations at their new location.

### Land Sales Revenues

The property will likely be disposed of through public benefit conveyances, with one parcel conveying through an Economic Development Conveyance. Proceeds for land sales will only be realized if the Economic Development Conveyance package results in a sale price that generates revenue. Revenues reported under FY95 were the result of lease agreements.

## **SAVINGS**

### Military Construction

Savings are a result of the deletion of projects in the FYDP.

### Family Housing Operations

The family housing inventory at NAS Cecil Field consists of 297 government owned units. Two hundred units, located 4 miles from NAS Cecil Field, will be retained to offset some of the PWC Jacksonville requirements. The remaining units will be deactivated as the base closes.

### Operations and Maintenance

Savings result from elimination of billets, and associated non-labor base operations support at NAS

Cecil Field. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the closure. Receiving

sites require operating budget increases to support transferring units.

### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction of total military billets.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1430 - Naval Shipyard, Charleston, SC

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	7,390	0	0	0	0	0	7,390
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(2,677)	(27,346)	(6,614)	(87)	(15)	(152)	(36,891)
Studies	0	250	0	0	15	15	280
Compliance	2,677	27,096	6,614	87	0	137	36,611
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	81,602	107,315	115,571	11,319	4,516	5,935	326,258
Military Personnel - PCS	2,000	0	94	0	0	0	2,094
Other	0	80	0	0	0	0	80
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>93,669</b>	<b>134,741</b>	<b>122,279</b>	<b>11,406</b>	<b>4,531</b>	<b>6,087</b>	<b>372,713</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>93,669</b>	<b>134,741</b>	<b>122,279</b>	<b>11,406</b>	<b>4,531</b>	<b>6,087</b>	<b>372,713</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	-2,800	0	0	0	0	-2,800
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	-88,462	-91,941	-93,964	-96,031	-370,398
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-10,194	-10,419	-10,647	-10,882	-42,142
Civilian ES (End Strength)	1,136	-3,392	-5,335	-5,507	-5,001	-5,564	-23,663
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-54	-54	-54	-54	-216
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2,800</b>	<b>-98,656</b>	<b>-102,360</b>	<b>-104,611</b>	<b>-106,913</b>	<b>-415,340</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	7,390	-2,800	0	0	0	0	4,590
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(2,677)	(27,346)	(6,614)	(87)	(15)	(152)	(36,891)
Studies	0	250	0	0	15	15	280
Compliance	2,677	27,096	6,614	87	0	137	36,611
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	81,602	107,315	27,109	-80,622	-89,448	-90,096	-44,140
Military Personnel - PCS	2,000	0	94	0	0	0	2,094
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	80	-10,194	-10,419	-10,647	-10,882	-42,062
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	1,136	-3,392	-5,335	-5,507	-5,001	-5,564	-23,663
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-54	-54	-54	-54	-216
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>93,669</b>	<b>131,941</b>	<b>23,623</b>	<b>-90,954</b>	<b>-100,080</b>	<b>-100,826</b>	<b>-42,627</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



1430 - Naval Shipyard, Charleston, SC

The 1993 Commission recommended closure of the Naval Shipyard (NSY), Charleston. NSY ceased mission in October 1995 and closed on 1 April 1996. A reuse plan has been developed by the Charleston Redevelopment Authority and provides the basis for NEPA and environmental actions. Final property disposal is anticipated by December 2000.

	FY1994 Amount (\$000) -----
P-364T NORFOLK NSY INTERMEDEDIATE MAINT ACTIVITY TRAINING FACILITY	7,390
Subtotal	7,390
Total	7,390

## Compliance

Abatement of asbestos is continuing. 195 Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) and 208 Areas of Concern (AOCs) have been identified in the RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) for the Naval Base, of those 323 are being investigated as part of the RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) process. These sites are distributed among the 12 zones identified previously. An organization of former Shipyard workers is constructing a bioremediation facility which will be used to treat contaminated soil identified during Underground Storage Tanks (UST) removals. Cleanup is underway for 12 SWMUs/AOCs. Operations and maintenance activities are dictated by the amount of hazardous substances remaining at the site after the completion of the remedial action. RCRA land disposal closure standards apply to waste removed from the site under CERCLA. If hazardous materials remain, post-closure groundwater monitoring is required.

#### Installation Restoration

RCRA Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU) 102 and 172 are major sites programmed for remediation.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation of personnel, plant property and inventory, tenant moving costs, low-level radioactive waste disposal, dredge operations cessation, and other nuclear propulsion closure costs. Also included are costs for radiological surveys and studies. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned closure of the activity. Costs are also included for a steam utility contract which the Navy is obligated to either continue funding or to buyout. Included as well are the Shipyard's portion of a consolidated Charleston caretaker office and support, real estate, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Real estate costs identified are for in-house labor, support and contractual requirements necessary to provide interim lease support, as well as effort required to support final property conveyance. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, and lease arrangements.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

No land sales revenues were received for the Federal transfers. The remaining property is planned for disposal under an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC). Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the EDC includes monetary compensation to the Navy.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Savings are the result of projects deleted from the FYDP.



#### Operations and Maintenance

Includes reductions in base operating support costs as well as civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the closure.

#### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

#### Other

Includes DBOF and base support savings to regular shipyard customers.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1270 - Naval Station, Charleston, SC

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	8,720	17,183	0	0	0	0	25,903
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(2,278)	(500)	(6,700)	(15,083)	(12,993)	(9,550)	(47,104)
Studies	300	0	0	10	0	0	310
Compliance	1,978	500	3,000	5,771	9,293	2,245	22,787
Restoration	0	0	3,700	9,302	3,700	7,305	24,007
Operations & Maintenance	4,626	10,192	10,853	1,601	2,350	1,566	31,188
Military Personnel - PCS	0	5,755	1,168	0	0	0	6,923
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>15,624</b>	<b>33,630</b>	<b>18,721</b>	<b>16,684</b>	<b>15,343</b>	<b>11,116</b>	<b>111,118</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>15,624</b>	<b>33,630</b>	<b>18,721</b>	<b>16,684</b>	<b>15,343</b>	<b>11,116</b>	<b>111,118</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-3,358	-3,358
Family Housing	(0)	(-394)	(-402)	(-4,019)	(-4,108)	(-4,198)	(-13,121)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-394	-402	-4,019	-4,108	-4,198	-13,121
Operations & Maintenance	-3,055	-8,779	-26,169	-39,055	-40,212	-42,212	-159,482
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-751	-21,024	285	-21,473	-41,736	-84,699
Other	0	-3,655	0	0	0	0	-3,655
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-2	-251	-569	-569	-569	-569	-2,529
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-44	-1,132	-1,093	-1,089	-1,085	-4,443
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-3,055</b>	<b>-13,579</b>	<b>-47,595</b>	<b>-42,789</b>	<b>-65,793</b>	<b>-91,504</b>	<b>-264,315</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	8,720	17,183	0	0	0	-3,358	22,545
Family Housing	(0)	(-394)	(-402)	(-4,019)	(-4,108)	(-4,198)	(-13,121)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-394	-402	-4,019	-4,108	-4,198	-13,121
Environmental	(2,278)	(500)	(6,700)	(15,083)	(12,993)	(9,550)	(47,104)
Studies	300	0	0	10	0	0	310
Compliance	1,978	500	3,000	5,771	9,293	2,245	22,787
Restoration	0	0	3,700	9,302	3,700	7,305	24,007
Operations & Maintenance	1,571	1,413	-15,316	-37,454	-37,862	-40,646	-128,294
Military Personnel - PCS	0	5,004	-19,856	285	-21,473	-41,736	-77,776
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	-3,655	0	0	0	0	-3,655
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-2	-251	-569	-569	-569	-569	-2,529
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-44	-1,132	-1,093	-1,089	-1,085	-4,443
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>12,569</b>	<b>20,051</b>	<b>-28,874</b>	<b>-26,105</b>	<b>-50,450</b>	<b>-80,388</b>	<b>-153,197</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1270 - Naval Station, Charleston, SC**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of the Naval Station (NAVSTA), Charleston. NAVSTA ceased mission in October 1995 and closed on 1 April 1996. A reuse plan has been developed and provides the basis for NEPA and environmental actions. Final property disposal is anticipated by December 2000.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994-1995 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-401T INGLESIDE NS	ADVANCED FFT PHASE I	6,370
P-867T CHESAPEAKE NSGA	OPERATIONS BUILDING ADD'NS & ALT	2,350
P-049T INGLESIDE NS	MINE WARFARE TRAINING SCHOOL PHA	6,730
P-053T KINGS BAY NSB	CBU OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,810
P-054T CHARLESTON NWS	MINE RECOVERY OPS AND SUPPORT FA	1,103
P-364T CHARLESTON NWS	RESERVE CARGO HANDLING/VEH MAINT	1,500
P-868T CHESAPEAKE NSGA	ACCESS ROADS/BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	710
P-XX5T INGLESIDE NS	ADVANCED FFT PHASE II	5,330
		-----
	Total	25,903

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

Charleston Naval Base is divided into 12 Zones, A-L with some overlap between the components. Zones E and F represent the Naval Shipyard, Zones A, D, and G represent the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center and Zones B, C, H, I, J, K and L represent the Naval Station. An EBS and BCP were completed. Abatement of lead based paint was accomplished in Zones A-L. Abatement of asbestos and closure of USTs and ASTs has begun. 195 Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) and 208 Areas of Concern (AOCs) were identified in the RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) for the Naval Base; of

those 323 are being investigated as part of the RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) process. These sites are distributed among the 12 zones identified previously. An organization of former Shipyard workers is constructing a bioremediation facility which will be used to treat contaminated soil identified during Underground Storage Tanks (UST) removals. Cleanup is underway for 12 SWMUs/AOCs. Operations and maintenance activities are dictated by the amount of hazardous substances remaining at the site after the completion of the remedial action. RCRA land disposal closure standards apply to waste removed from the site under CERCLA. If hazardous materials remain, post-closure groundwater monitoring is required.

Funding is to complete action on the remaining Aboveground Storage Tanks, USTs, and SWMUs. Funds are also included to update the Environmental Baseline Survey. The LTM/O requirements occurring after FY 2001 will be funded by Navy O&M,N.

#### Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for mixed use. Two small parcels were transferred to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and to the Marine Corps. The remaining property will be conveyed to the Charleston Naval Complex Redevelopment Authority via EDC.

Funds were used to cleanup contamination from various SWMU's. Cleanups at an oil spill area and the storm sewer system comprised over 96% of the funding.

Funding is phased to meet regulatory cleanup requirements and planned conveyance dates. There are a total of 42 restoration sites remaining to be completed, not including three sites requiring only Long Term Monitoring/Operation (LTM/O). This budget will complete all environmental cleanup requirements (except LTM/O needs) by 2001. The LTM/O requirements occurring after FY 2001 will be funded by Environmental Restoration, Navy (ER,N).

Major sites include a landfill), an oil spill area , sanitary sewer system, coal storage area, a dump, a wash area, and a former skeet range.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Activity costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation of personnel, trainers, plant property, inventory, facility modifications at gaining sites, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary. Included as well are NS Charleston's share of costs for combined caretaker requirements of Charleston Naval Complex, real estate and other related labor, support and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, and lease arrangements.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

No land sales revenues were received for the Federal transfers. The remaining property is planned for disposal under an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC). Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the EDC includes monetary compensation to the Navy.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Savings are the result of projects deleted from the FYDP.

#### Family Housing Operations

The family housing inventory at NS Charleston consists of 586 government owned units. All units have closed.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Savings are the result of reduced civilian personnel salary costs and other base operating support costs.

#### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

#### Other

Procurement savings for operating forces support.





**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1330 - Naval Supply Ctr, Charleston, SC

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(1,500)	(976)	(885)	(4,534)	(7,895)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	887	0	1,307	2,194
Restoration	0	0	1,500	89	885	3,227	5,701
Operations & Maintenance	3,664	3,900	5,324	1,078	550	492	15,008
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>3,664</b>	<b>3,900</b>	<b>6,824</b>	<b>2,054</b>	<b>1,435</b>	<b>5,026</b>	<b>22,903</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>3,664</b>	<b>3,900</b>	<b>6,824</b>	<b>2,054</b>	<b>1,435</b>	<b>5,026</b>	<b>22,903</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	-3,500	-6,906	-7,994	-7,525	-7,279	-33,204
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-460	-1,860	-6,953	-7,498	-7,294	-7,208	-31,273
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-76	-164	-239	-239	-239	-239	-1,196
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-25
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-460</b>	<b>-5,360</b>	<b>-13,859</b>	<b>-15,492</b>	<b>-14,819</b>	<b>-14,487</b>	<b>-64,477</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(1,500)	(976)	(885)	(4,534)	(7,895)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	887	0	1,307	2,194
Restoration	0	0	1,500	89	885	3,227	5,701
Operations & Maintenance	3,664	400	-1,582	-6,916	-6,975	-6,787	-18,196
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-460	-1,860	-6,953	-7,498	-7,294	-7,208	-31,273
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-76	-164	-239	-239	-239	-239	-1,196
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-25
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>3,204</b>	<b>-1,460</b>	<b>-7,035</b>	<b>-13,438</b>	<b>-13,384</b>	<b>-9,461</b>	<b>-41,574</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1330 - Naval Supply Ctr, Charleston, SC**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the partial disestablishment of the Fleet Industrial Supply Center (FISC), Charleston and the 1995 Commission recommended complete closure. Operational closure occurred on 1 April 1996. Property disposal is included with the other Charleston bases, and is not treated as a separate disposal action. Final property disposal is anticipated by December 2000.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

Charleston Naval Base is divided into 12 Zones, A-L with some overlap between the components. Zones E and F represent the Naval Shipyard, Zones A, D, and G represent the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center and Zones B, C, H, I, J, K and L represent the Naval Station. Contaminated soil excavated from the removal of underground storage tanks (USTs Zone G) will be treated. This treatment is consistent throughout Charleston Naval Base. Abatement of lead based paint was accomplished in Zones A-L in FY96. Abatement of asbestos is continuing. 195 Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) and 208 Areas of Concern (AOCs) have been identified in the RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) for the Naval Base, of those 323 are being investigated as part of the RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) process. These sites are distributed among the 12 zones identified previously. The RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) report for Zone A was completed in FY96. An organization of former Shipyard workers is constructing a bioremediation facility which will be used to treat contaminated soil identified during Underground Storage Tanks (UST) removals. Cleanup is underway for 12 SWMUs/AOCs. Operations and maintenance activities are dictated by the amount of hazardous substances remaining at the site after the completion of the remedial action. RCRA land disposal closure standards apply to waste removed from the site under CERCLA. If hazardous materials remain,

post-closure groundwater monitoring is required. Budgeted funding in Compliance and Installation Restoration is to cleanup remaining areas contaminated by Aboveground Storage Tanks, USTs and SWMUs to promote property transfer.

#### Installation Restoration

Budgeted funding in Compliance and Installation Restoration is to cleanup remaining areas contaminated by Aboveground Storage Tanks, USTs and SWMUs to promote property transfer. RCRA Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU's) 8 and 11 are major sites programmed for remediation.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Activity costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation of personnel, property, and inventory, tenant moving costs, and minor facility repair or renovation at new locations. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary. Incidental real estate funding requirements are covered in the Naval Shipyard, Charleston budget submission.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

This property is planned for disposal under a public benefit conveyance and an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC). Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the EDC includes monetary compensation to the Navy.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the closure of the activity.

#### Other

Customer savings associated with the closure of a DBOF facility.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1540 - NAS Dallas, TX

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	8,850	29,150	67,779	0	2,290	0	108,069
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(3,212)	(6,000)	(2,020)	(1,388)	(7,926)	(5,444)	(25,990)
Studies	0	0	0	30	40	10	80
Compliance	3,212	6,000	0	0	1,401	2,150	12,763
Restoration	0	0	2,020	1,358	6,485	3,284	13,147
Operations & Maintenance	1,892	1,740	11,541	6,560	1,761	2,546	26,040
Military Personnel - PCS	199	359	0	997	0	0	1,555
Other	0	0	0	291	0	0	291
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>14,153</b>	<b>37,249</b>	<b>81,340</b>	<b>9,236</b>	<b>11,977</b>	<b>7,990</b>	<b>161,945</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>14,153</b>	<b>37,249</b>	<b>81,340</b>	<b>9,236</b>	<b>11,977</b>	<b>7,990</b>	<b>161,945</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-680	0	0	0	-820	0	-1,500
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(375)	(377)	(385)	(396)	(1,533)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	375	377	385	396	1,533
Operations & Maintenance	3,747	13,059	9,879	11,173	11,793	12,034	61,685
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	15	15	15	15	15	15	90
Military ES (End Strength)	0	1	1	1	1	1	5
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>3,067</b>	<b>13,059</b>	<b>10,254</b>	<b>11,550</b>	<b>11,358</b>	<b>12,430</b>	<b>61,718</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	8,170	29,150	67,779	0	1,470	0	106,569
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(375)	(377)	(385)	(396)	(1,533)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	375	377	385	396	1,533
Environmental	(3,212)	(6,000)	(2,020)	(1,388)	(7,926)	(5,444)	(25,990)
Studies	0	0	0	30	40	10	80
Compliance	3,212	6,000	0	0	1,401	2,150	12,763
Restoration	0	0	2,020	1,358	6,485	3,284	13,147
Operations & Maintenance	5,639	14,799	21,420	17,733	13,554	14,580	87,725
Military Personnel - PCS	199	359	0	997	0	0	1,555
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	291	0	0	291
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	15	15	15	15	15	15	90
Military ES (End Strength)	0	1	1	1	1	1	5
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>17,220</b>	<b>50,308</b>	<b>91,594</b>	<b>20,786</b>	<b>23,335</b>	<b>20,420</b>	<b>223,663</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1540 - NAS Dallas, TX**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Naval Air Station (NAS) Dallas closed in September 1998 following the relocation of all tenants. Disposal of properties will be phased with final property disposal by September 2003. The largest portion of the property is leased from the city of Dallas, and Navy will terminate the lease. The remaining parcels will be conveyed by transfers of property to the Army Reserve and the Marines Corps, a public benefit conveyance, a public sale or some combination of the three.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994-1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-107T FORT WORTH NAS	AVIATION FACILITIES PHASE I	40
P-109T FORT WORTH NAS	BASE UPGRADES PHASE I	2,140
P-110T FORT WORTH NAS	MAINTENANCE HANGAR PHASE I	2,645
P-X25T FORT WORTH NAS	HANGAR SUPPORT PHASE I	4,025
P-122T FORT WORTH NAS	HANGAR SUPPORT PHASE II	11,455
P-123T FORT WORTH NAS	TRAINING/ADMIN FAC ALTERATIONS P	3,500
P-X24T FORT WORTH NAS	AVIATION FACILITIES PHASE II	8,260
P-X41T FORT WORTH NAS	BASE UPGRADES PHASE II	5,200
P-X42T FORT WORTH NAS	MAINTENANCE HANGAR PHASE II	735
P-101T FORT WORTH NAS	BUILDING ALTERATIONS AND ADDN'S	9,523
P-102T FORT WORTH NAS	ACFT SUPPORT FACILITY	17,886
P-103T FORT WORTH NAS	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	4,510
P-104T FORT WORTH NAS	JET ENGINE TEST CELL	13,840
P-106T FORT WORTH NAS	ADMIN/SUPPLY BUILDING ALTERATION	4,560
P-108T FORT WORTH NAS	RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	16,600
P-140T FORT WORTH NAS	ADMIN/SUPPLY BUILDING	860
	Subtotal	105,779
		FY1998 Amount (\$000) -----
P-102T FORT WORTH NAS	ACFT SUPPORT FACILITY	2,290
	Total	108,069

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

## Environmental

### Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Impact Statement has been initiated and is expected to be complete in 1999. Interim lease categorical exclusion requirements are anticipated yearly until disposal. In addition, outyear funding is for anticipated follow-up coordination between the Reuse Plan and the EIS.

### Compliance

An Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) has been completed. A comprehensive asbestos survey was completed and all required remediation has been started. Lead based paint surveys were funded in FY 1998. NAS Dallas has 69 USTS, of which 17 require no action. Twenty-five USTS have been removed. Another 21 USTS were funded for removal in FY 1998.

Funding is phased to meet regulatory requirements and planned conveyance dates. Funding will complete remedial systems for six USTS and start long term operations. There is also a small amount of lead based paint and asbestos work projected. This budget will complete all environmental cleanup requirements (except Long Term Maintenance and Operation needs) by 2001. The LTM/O requirements for the USTS occurring after FY01 will be funded by O&M,N funds.

### Installation Restoration

The property includes lands owned by the Navy as well as leased to the Navy. The original LRA voted in August 1997 to sell both the Navy owned as well as the leased land. However, the LRA has dissolved, so now Navy is working with the cities of Dallas and Grand Prairie to finalize a conveyance mechanism.

An EBS has been completed identifying 41 SWMUs. Action has started to accelerate investigations for all sites through the simultaneous use of non-intrusive, less expensive screening techniques and the use of geostatistics to define the extent of releases. Interim remedial action was completed for one site.

Funding is phased to meet regulatory cleanup requirements and anticipated conveyance dates. There are a total of 41 RCRA corrective action sites (SWMUs), of which 4 are being proposed for no further action. The remaining 37 sites are funded in FY 1999-2001. This budget will complete all environmental cleanup requirements (except Long Term Maintenance and Operation needs) by 2001. The LTM/O requirements occurring after FY 2001 will be funded by Environmental Restoration, Navy (ER,N).



### Operations and Maintenance

Activity costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the closure.

Costs identified also include caretaker and real estate costs such as labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the properties. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, lease arrangements, and marketing efforts.

### Military Personnel -- PCS

All military personnel assigned to NAS Dallas will relocate to NAS Fort Worth Joint Reserve Base. PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases.

### Other

Funds are required to procure collateral equipment to support functions realigned to receiver site.

### Land Sales Revenues

The major portion of NAS Dallas is located on land leased from the City of Dallas. The remaining property has been screened through the McKinney Act while the non-contiguous housing, located in Duncanville, Texas, has been screened through the Homeless Assistance Act according to the normal federal disposal process. The Duncanville housing has been conveyed at no cost to the City of Duncanville under a Public Benefit Conveyance (PBC) through the Department of Interior. The other Navy owned properties will either be conveyed under a federal transfer, a PBC or by public sale at a later date. Proceeds for land sales will only be realized if property is transferred or sold at fair market or discounted value.

## **SAVINGS**

### Military Construction

Savings are the result of the deletion of projects from the FYDP.

### Family Housing Operations

The family housing inventory at NAS Dallas consists of 11 government owned units. The housing property has been disposed of through a public benefit conveyance.

### Operations and Maintenance

Increased costs resulting from increase of flight operations, civilian personnel, and base support costs due to additional tenants.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1530 - NAF Detroit, MI

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(232)	(1,174)	(277)	(625)	(2,308)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	232	1,174	277	625	2,308
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	54	0	0	0	0	54
Military Personnel - PCS	2,100	0	0	0	0	0	2,100
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>2,100</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>1,174</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>625</b>	<b>4,462</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>2,100</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>1,174</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>625</b>	<b>4,462</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-1,264	-2,298	-2,365	-2,432	-2,502	-1,845	-12,706
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-191	-359	-374	-385	-400	-1,709
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-60
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-1,264</b>	<b>-2,489</b>	<b>-2,724</b>	<b>-2,806</b>	<b>-2,887</b>	<b>-2,245</b>	<b>-14,415</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(232)	(1,174)	(277)	(625)	(2,308)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	232	1,174	277	625	2,308
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-1,264	-2,244	-2,365	-2,432	-2,502	-1,845	-12,652
Military Personnel - PCS	2,100	-191	-359	-374	-385	-400	391
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-60
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>-2,435</b>	<b>-2,492</b>	<b>-1,632</b>	<b>-2,610</b>	<b>-1,620</b>	<b>-9,953</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1530 - NAF Detroit, MI**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Naval Air Facility (NAF) Detroit (a tenant on Selfridge Air National Guard Base at Mt Clemons, MI) ceased operations in May 1994. No disposal actions were required.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

An Environmental Baseline Survey was completed during FY 1995 for the Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA). Asbestos removal is scheduled for FY 1998/1999. Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) and Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs) removals are planned during FY 1998/1999. There are 32 suspected contaminated sites at NAF Detroit. Six sites have been screened so far and show no contamination problems. The remaining 24 sites will have a draft site screening investigation report completed by the end of May 1998. Budgeted funding includes investigation and cleanup of Group B Area of Contamination and cleanup of Underground Storage Tank contamination.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1700 - NRTF, Driver, VA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(1,376)	(3,500)	(2,750)	(48)	(0)	(0)	(7,674)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	721	500	0	0	0	0	1,221
Restoration	655	3,000	2,750	48	0	0	6,453
Operations & Maintenance	112	275	19	25	18	0	449
Military Personnel - PCS	240	0	0	0	0	0	240
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>3,775</b>	<b>2,769</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,363</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>1,728</b>	<b>3,775</b>	<b>2,769</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,363</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-848	-1,713	0	0	0	0	-2,561
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-848</b>	<b>-1,713</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2,561</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(1,376)	(3,500)	(2,750)	(48)	(0)	(0)	(7,674)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	721	500	0	0	0	0	1,221
Restoration	655	3,000	2,750	48	0	0	6,453
Operations & Maintenance	-736	-1,438	19	25	18	0	-2,112
Military Personnel - PCS	240	0	0	0	0	0	240
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>880</b>	<b>2,062</b>	<b>2,769</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,802</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1700 - NRTF, Driver, VA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of NRTF Driver, Virginia. Operational closure occurred in March 1994. NAVFACENGCOM took ownership on 17 November 1994. The reuse plan was approved by HUD on 23 September 1996. The approved reuse plan allocates approximately 208 acres to the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service; 239 acres to the Department of Interior, National Park Service, for a public benefit conveyance to the City of Suffolk; and 150 acres to the Department of Education for public benefit conveyances. Final disposal is expected by August 1999.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS Costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are

based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Operations and Maintenance

Elimination of funding for contractor support and utilities.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2080 - MCAS El Toro, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	253,249	53,303	64,393	0	370,945
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(24,329)	(18,941)	(20,559)	(44,907)	(17,884)	(44,015)	(170,635)
Studies	1,300	400	300	0	2,046	0	4,046
Compliance	6,371	8,241	13,239	19,813	6,334	10,681	64,679
Restoration	16,658	10,300	7,020	25,094	9,504	33,334	101,910
Operations & Maintenance	825	6,348	14,654	23,568	32,875	15,285	93,555
Military Personnel - PCS	1,301	2,342	907	2,935	3,935	9,176	20,596
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>26,455</b>	<b>27,631</b>	<b>289,369</b>	<b>124,713</b>	<b>119,087</b>	<b>68,476</b>	<b>655,731</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>26,455</b>	<b>27,631</b>	<b>289,369</b>	<b>124,713</b>	<b>119,087</b>	<b>68,476</b>	<b>655,731</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-1,950	-7,430	-3,596	-6,920	0	0	-19,896
Family Housing	(-199)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(-199)
Construction	-199	0	0	0	0	0	-199
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-1,172	-2,617	6,680	24,997	25,234	28,552	81,674
Military Personnel - PCS	0	9,855	23,617	-7,689	-11,570	-11,666	2,547
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-133	-133	-133	-133	-532
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-200	-200	-200	-600
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-3,321</b>	<b>-192</b>	<b>26,701</b>	<b>10,388</b>	<b>13,664</b>	<b>16,886</b>	<b>64,126</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	-1,950	-7,430	249,653	46,383	64,393	0	351,049
Family Housing	(-199)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(-199)
Construction	-199	0	0	0	0	0	-199
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(24,329)	(18,941)	(20,559)	(44,907)	(17,884)	(44,015)	(170,635)
Studies	1,300	400	300	0	2,046	0	4,046
Compliance	6,371	8,241	13,239	19,813	6,334	10,681	64,679
Restoration	16,658	10,300	7,020	25,094	9,504	33,334	101,910
Operations & Maintenance	-347	3,731	21,334	48,565	58,109	43,837	175,229
Military Personnel - PCS	1,301	12,197	24,524	-4,754	-7,635	-2,490	23,143
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-133	-133	-133	-133	-532
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-200	-200	-200	-600
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>23,134</b>	<b>27,439</b>	<b>316,070</b>	<b>135,101</b>	<b>132,751</b>	<b>85,362</b>	<b>719,857</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2080 - MCAS El Toro, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The Closure of MCAF Tustin in BRAC II, and MCAS El Toro in BRAC III and the relocation of their aircraft along with their dedicated personnel, equipment and support to NAS Miramar, CA and MCAS Camp Pendleton, CA will be completed in fiscal year 1999. Already, much of the environmental restoration and compliance work is complete. On-going actions and work delayed by operational unit moves extend through FY05.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1996 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-002T MIRAMAR MCAS	BEQ PHASE I	38,654
P-016T MIRAMAR MCAS	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	168,155
P-026T CAMP PENDLETON MCAS	AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	14,320
P-027T CAMP PENDLETON MCAS	TRAINING/ADMIN FACILITY	3,160
P-028T CAMP PENDLETON MCAS	BEQ/PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	10,750
P-031T CAMP PENDLETON MCAS	MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	18,210
	Subtotal	253,249
		FY1997 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-013T MIRAMAR MCAS	BEQ PHASE II	27,983
P-017T MIRAMAR MCAS	AIRCRAFT MAINT AND TRNG COMPLEX	25,320
	Subtotal	53,303
		FY1998 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-018T MIRAMAR MCAS	SUPPORT FACS(FORMER P-005T & P-011T)	48,773
P-X16T MIRAMAR MCAS	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	14,600
	Subtotal	63,373
	Total	369,925

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

## Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

## Environmental

### Studies

No requirement.

### Compliance

Environmental Compliance Costs for requirements include the following: Underground Storage Tank (UST) testing, removal and remediation; hazardous waste management; PCB and asbestos removal and remediation; solid waste management, RCRA permit application/modification requirements; wetlands/endangered species habitat mitigation; and clean air and water monitoring.

### Installation Restoration

Installation Restoration (IR): MCAS El Toro is listed in the National Priorities List (NPL). There is a Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA) which was signed in 1990 for both bases. Currently there are 25 IR sites identified at MCAS El Toro and 18 at MCAF Tustin. Environmental restoration costs include the identification, investigation, and cleanup of current/prior hazardous waste sites in response to requirements established by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA).

The funding profile for the clean up peaks this year and levels out after FY01 when most of the actions are continuing maintenance and monitoring costs.

## Operations and Maintenance

Costs include both MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin. Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocation costs, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time moving costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned closure of the activity. Also included are caretaker, real estate, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, surveys, and marketing efforts.

## Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived using the average cost factors for operational moves. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that

is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

## Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the property is sold at fair market or discounted value.

#### **SAVINGS**

##### Military Construction

Savings will result from cancellation of construction projects as a result of this relocation. The projects range from hangar additions to storage and other operational facilities.

##### Family Housing Construction

Minor family housing construction savings will be realized for improvements at MCAS El Toro.

##### Operations and Maintenance

Maintenance Real Property (MPR) and Base Operating Support (BOS) savings at MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin scale back spending to a minimum while personnel are relocating to NAS Miramar. Increases at NAS Miramar reflect requirements as Marines move into NAS Miramar. Civilian personnel savings at MCAS El Toro and MCAS Tustin are based on the savings that are offset by new costs at NAS Miramar. The net reduction in civilian personnel costs are due to a reduction in end-strength.

##### Military Personnel

An increase above current Variable Housing Allowance/Basic Allowance for Quarters (VHA/BAQ) expenses will be required to address a shortage of housing at MCAS Camp Pendleton and NAS Miramar. Military Personnel savings reflect a reduction in Navy Medical and Dental personnel end-strength.





**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1520 - NAS Glenview, IL

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	4,250	10,390	0	0	12,573	0	27,213
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(1,205)	(8,000)	(6,434)	(10,816)	(7,667)	(384)	(34,506)
Studies	0	0	468	35	100	20	623
Compliance	995	6,000	721	1,829	694	0	10,239
Restoration	210	2,000	5,245	8,952	6,873	364	23,644
Operations & Maintenance	1,030	3,356	3,049	1,225	558	84	9,302
Military Personnel - PCS	0	839	0	0	0	0	839
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>6,485</b>	<b>22,585</b>	<b>9,483</b>	<b>12,041</b>	<b>20,798</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>71,860</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>6,485</b>	<b>22,585</b>	<b>9,483</b>	<b>12,041</b>	<b>20,798</b>	<b>468</b>	<b>71,860</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-1,654	0	-5,822	-7,476
Family Housing	(0)	(-187)	(-191)	(-196)	(-200)	(-204)	(-978)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-187	-191	-196	-200	-204	-978
Operations & Maintenance	-6,187	-7,801	-15,783	-15,494	-14,554	-13,472	-73,291
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-7,208	-15,681	-15,843	-16,125	-16,478	-71,335
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-176	-176	-176	-176	-704
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-362	-379	-379	-379	-375	-1,874
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-6,187</b>	<b>-15,196</b>	<b>-31,655</b>	<b>-33,187</b>	<b>-30,879</b>	<b>-35,976</b>	<b>-153,080</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	4,250	10,390	0	-1,654	12,573	-5,822	19,737
Family Housing	(0)	(-187)	(-191)	(-196)	(-200)	(-204)	(-978)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-187	-191	-196	-200	-204	-978
Environmental	(1,205)	(8,000)	(6,434)	(10,816)	(7,667)	(384)	(34,506)
Studies	0	0	468	35	100	20	623
Compliance	995	6,000	721	1,829	694	0	10,239
Restoration	210	2,000	5,245	8,952	6,873	364	23,644
Operations & Maintenance	-5,157	-4,445	-12,734	-14,269	-13,996	-13,388	-63,989
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-6,369	-15,681	-15,843	-16,125	-16,478	-70,496
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-176	-176	-176	-176	-704
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-362	-379	-379	-379	-375	-1,874
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>7,389</b>	<b>-22,172</b>	<b>-21,146</b>	<b>-10,081</b>	<b>-35,508</b>	<b>-81,220</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1520 - NAS Glenview, IL**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Naval Air Station (NAS) Glenview operationally closed 30 September 1995. The property is being conveyed as environmental remediation is completed. The Village of Glenview is acquiring the property through an Economic Development Conveyance. Final property disposal of the main base is expected by May 1999. A determination of the disposition of the Outlying Field at Libertyville has not been made but current expectations are that it will be disposed of by September 1999.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

**Military Construction**

		FY1994-1995 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-135T FORT WORTH NAS	MAINTENANCE HANGAR PHASE I	4,250
P-700T FORT MCCOY	ARMY RESERVE GUARD	7,100
P-X43T FORT WORTH NAS	MAINTENANCE HANGAR PHASE II	3,290
	Subtotal	14,640
		FY1998 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-701T FORT MCCOY	EQUIPMENT MAINT FAC	3,520
P-906T ATLANTA NAS	MARINE RESERVE TRAINING FAC	9,053
	Subtotal	12,573
	Total	27,213

**Family Housing Construction**

No requirement.

**Family Housing Operations**

No requirement.

**Environmental**

**Studies**

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) has been completed and a Record of Decision (ROD) was signed on May 26, 1996. Categorical exclusions (CATEX) were prepared in-house to support

various interim leases. An Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Disposal & Reuse of OLF Libertyville has been initiated and will be completed by the first quarter of FY 1999. Additional interim leases are expected and funds are required to coordinate interim lease Categorical Exclusions.

#### Compliance

No requirement.

#### Installation Restoration

All IR sites have been identified at NAS Glenview. All sites have completed the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) phase. In FY 97, Interim Removal Actions (IRA) began for the IR program with the last of the sites undergoing IRAs in FY 1998. It is expected that all sites will be completed in FY 1999 with only some Long Term Monitoring continuing. The IR sites at the Libertyville Site are undergoing RI/FS investigations that will be completed in FY 1998. Cleanups at these sites will begin in FY 1998 and are expected to be completed in FY 2000.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include real estate, and other related labor, support and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

Revenues reported thus far are the result of lease agreements.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Savings result from removal of projects which were in the FYDP.

#### Family Housing Operations

The Family Housing inventory at NAS Glenview consisted of 297 government owned units. Two hundred sixty two of these units have been retained to offset some of the PWC Great Lakes requirements.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary and other base operating support savings resulting from the closure of the base.

### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1800 - SEAADSA, Indian Head, MD

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-125	-504	-708	-720	-736	-752	-3,545
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-4	-9	-11	-11	-11	-11	-57
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-125</b>	<b>-504</b>	<b>-708</b>	<b>-720</b>	<b>-736</b>	<b>-752</b>	<b>-3,545</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-125	-504	-708	-720	-736	-752	-3,545
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-4	-9	-11	-11	-11	-11	-57
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-125</b>	<b>-504</b>	<b>-708</b>	<b>-720</b>	<b>-736</b>	<b>-752</b>	<b>-3,545</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1800 - SEAADSA, Indian Head, MD**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Disestablish Sea Automated Data Systems Activity (SEAADSA) in FY 1996 and realign with NSWC Indian Head Division, Indian Head, MD. SEAADSA is currently a tenant of Indian Head, and will not physically relocate. SEAADSA positions transferred in place, on 17 May 1996, to NAVSEALOGCEN Detachment, Atlantic and some positions were eliminated due to consolidation efficiencies.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No NEPA documentation was required for the closure of SEAADSA because no land disposal was involved. A Categorical Exclusion was completed for the relocation of assets from SEAADSA Indian Head to NSWC Indian Head, MD.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2070 - NAS Memphis, TN

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	5,350	229,378	114,384	0	0	0	349,112
Environmental	(1,531)	(4,000)	(1,545)	(3,181)	(4,068)	(1,207)	(15,532)
Studies	0	0	0	25	55	10	90
Compliance	1,531	4,000	1,045	2,343	2,612	847	12,378
Restoration	0	0	500	813	1,401	350	3,064
Operations & Maintenance	4,455	7,785	36,343	5,891	310	197	54,981
Military Personnel - PCS	0	134	2,863	1,231	0	0	4,228
Other	0	0	910	0	0	0	910
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>11,336</b>	<b>241,297</b>	<b>156,045</b>	<b>10,303</b>	<b>4,378</b>	<b>1,404</b>	<b>424,763</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>11,336</b>	<b>241,297</b>	<b>156,045</b>	<b>10,303</b>	<b>4,378</b>	<b>1,404</b>	<b>424,763</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-2,050	-4,450	-1,106	-9,101	0	0	-16,707
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(-12,689)	(-3,327)	(-3,400)	(-3,475)	(-22,891)
Construction	0	0	-11,600	0	0	0	-11,600
Operations	0	0	-1,089	-3,327	-3,400	-3,475	-11,291
Operations & Maintenance	-882	-3,632	-9,313	-29,484	-30,763	-31,483	-105,557
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-8,938	-18,476	-19,384	-19,953	-66,751
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-249	-295	-295	-295	-295	-1,429
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-87	-481	-508	-514	-514	-2,104
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-2,932</b>	<b>-8,082</b>	<b>-32,046</b>	<b>-60,388</b>	<b>-53,547</b>	<b>-54,911</b>	<b>-211,906</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	3,300	224,928	113,278	-9,101	0	0	332,405
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(-12,689)	(-3,327)	(-3,400)	(-3,475)	(-22,891)
Construction	0	0	-11,600	0	0	0	-11,600
Operations	0	0	-1,089	-3,327	-3,400	-3,475	-11,291
Environmental	(1,531)	(4,000)	(1,545)	(3,181)	(4,068)	(1,207)	(15,532)
Studies	0	0	0	25	55	10	90
Compliance	1,531	4,000	1,045	2,343	2,612	847	12,378
Restoration	0	0	500	813	1,401	350	3,064
Operations & Maintenance	3,573	4,153	27,030	-23,593	-30,453	-31,286	-50,576
Military Personnel - PCS	0	134	-6,075	-17,245	-19,384	-19,953	-62,523
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	910	0	0	0	910
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-249	-295	-295	-295	-295	-1,429
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-87	-481	-508	-514	-514	-2,104
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>8,404</b>	<b>233,215</b>	<b>123,999</b>	<b>-50,085</b>	<b>-49,169</b>	<b>-53,507</b>	<b>212,857</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2070 - NAS Memphis, TN**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the realignment of Naval Air Station (NAS), Memphis to a Naval Support Activity, and a portion of the base closed and excessed. NAS ceased flight missions and realigned to a Naval Support Activity in October 1995. Final disposal is currently planned for December 1999.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

FY1994-1996

		Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-131T FORT WORTH NAS	HANGAR RENOVATIONS & EXPANSIONS	3,905
P-677T PENSACOLA NAS	U&SI PHASE I	1,445
P-654T PENSACOLA NAS	MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	4,250
P-656T PENSACOLA NAS	BASIC HELO/AO SCHOOL PHASE I	45,989
P-657T PENSACOLA NAS	AE SCHOOL	10,200
P-659T PENSACOLA NAS	AVIATION SUPPORT TRAINING BUILDI	12,100
P-660T PENSACOLA NAS	APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	11,500
P-662T PENSACOLA NAS	SHORE AIRCRAFT FIRE & RESCUE TRN	7,610
P-663T PENSACOLA NAS	FIRE FIGHTER TRAINING FACILITY	2,250
P-664T PENSACOLA NAS	MARINE EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELD	850
P-665T PENSACOLA NAS	FIRE MATS TRAINING MOCKUP	1,700
P-666T PENSACOLA NAS	MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	1,300
P-672T PENSACOLA NAS	ADMIN & SUPPORT FACILITIES	3,100
P-673T PENSACOLA NAS	BEQ(S) PHASE I	68,750
P-675T PENSACOLA NAS	ENLISTED MESS HALL	10,400
P-676T PENSACOLA NAS	MWR FACILITIES	16,324
P-685T PENSACOLA NAS	U&SI PHASE II	33,055
P-686T PENSACOLA NAS	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING BLDG PHASE	46,622
P-687T PENSACOLA NAS	BEQ(S) PHASE II	67,762
	Subtotal	349,112
	Total	349,112

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

## Environmental

### Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Assessment was completed during 1999. Interim leasing, which requires NEPA documentation, is expected during 1999.

### Compliance

Work to be accomplished includes investigation, removal and remediation of Underground Storage Tanks and any associated contamination. The BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) has focused the investigation to one primary concern and impediment to transfer of property, which is the contamination of the groundwater in the fluvial deposits aquifer. The BCT will continue to investigate the entire groundwater to evaluate an intrinsic bioremediation of the solvents. Operations and Maintenance activities are dictated by the amount of hazardous substances remaining at the site after the completion of the remedial action. RCRA land disposal closure standards apply to waste removed from the site under CERCLA. If hazardous materials remain, post-closure groundwater monitoring is required. FY 1999-2001 funds are budgeted for the UST work.

### Installation Restoration

There are a total of 67 Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) and one Area of Concern (AOC) base-wide; however, only 32 of the 67 SWMUs and the AOC are located in the 1,500 acres to be closed and transferred. Also, 24 of the 32 SWMUs are currently recommended for no further action, with the remaining SWMUs either under-going cleanup or a corrective measures study. Budgeted funding is required to complete cleanup of SWMUs 5 and 7.

### Operations and Maintenance

Activity costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the realignment. Real estate costs include related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, and lease arrangements.

### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the activity.

### Other

Collateral equipment costs associated with relocation requirements.

### Land Sales Revenues

Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if an Economic Development Conveyance planned for a portion of the property includes monetary compensation to the Navy.

## **SAVINGS**

### Military Construction

Prior programmed projects canceled.

### Family Housing Construction

Prior programmed projects canceled.

### Operations and Maintenance

Reflects realignment of schools, primarily to NAS Pensacola, consolidation of reserve components at Carswell AFB, closure of redundant tenant organizations, and reduction of excess personnel. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment of the activity.

### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.





**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1220 - Naval Air Facility, Midway Island

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	3,000	3,000	3,000	0	0	9,000
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(16,063)	(9,500)	(16,849)	(8,731)	(53)	(0)	(51,196)
Studies	0	0	0	7	0	0	7
Compliance	7,003	9,000	10,473	7,688	53	0	34,217
Restoration	9,060	500	6,376	1,036	0	0	16,972
Operations & Maintenance	3,887	4,900	3,411	243	0	0	12,441
Military Personnel - PCS	100	0	0	0	0	0	100
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>20,050</b>	<b>17,400</b>	<b>23,260</b>	<b>11,974</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72,737</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>20,050</b>	<b>17,400</b>	<b>23,260</b>	<b>11,974</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>72,737</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-333	-336	-342	-348	-358	-1,717
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-333</b>	<b>-336</b>	<b>-342</b>	<b>-348</b>	<b>-358</b>	<b>-1,717</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	3,000	3,000	3,000	0	0	9,000
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(16,063)	(9,500)	(16,849)	(8,731)	(53)	(0)	(51,196)
Studies	0	0	0	7	0	0	7
Compliance	7,003	9,000	10,473	7,688	53	0	34,217
Restoration	9,060	500	6,376	1,036	0	0	16,972
Operations & Maintenance	3,887	4,900	3,411	243	0	0	12,441
Military Personnel - PCS	100	-333	-336	-342	-348	-358	-1,617
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>20,050</b>	<b>17,067</b>	<b>22,924</b>	<b>11,632</b>	<b>-295</b>	<b>-358</b>	<b>71,020</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1220 - Naval Air Facility, Midway Island**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Naval Air Facility (NAF), Midway Island, was operationally closed September 1993. Completion of remediation occurred in June 1997.

The Midway Atoll was designated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) an Overlay National Wildlife Refuge. In May 1996, ASN (I&E) transferred the Midway Islands to the United States Department of the Interior for the use of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1995 - 1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-400T MIDWAY ISLAND NAF	DEMOLITION	3,000
P-401T MIDWAY ISLAND NAF	DEMOLITION	3,000
	Subtotal	6,000
		FY1997 Amount (\$000) -----
P-402T MIDWAY ISLAND NAF	DEMOLITION	3,000
	Subtotal	3,000
	Total	9,000

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation was completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. A Categorical Exclusion was completed in October 1994 for the transfer of NAF Midway to the Department

of the Interior. An additional interim lease Environmental assessment was completed in 1995. In FY 1997, HABS/HAER photographs were taken for the required archaeological documentation prior to demolition of facilities.

#### Compliance

All compliance programs were properly closed prior to the transfer to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Navy departure on July 1997. An abandoned AVGAS pipeline was discovered in December 97. Cleanup of the pipeline was completed on April 98.

#### Installation Restoration

Final closure of all Installation Restoration (IR) sites was completed under the IR Program. BRAC Cleanup Report which identified the cleanup completion of all the environmental programs was completed in June 1997. Several severe storms uncovered over 60 drums of asphalt along the northern beach. Removal of the asphalt was completed June 98.

#### Operations and Maintenance

##### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

##### Other

No requirement.

##### Land Sales Revenues

None.

#### **SAVINGS**

##### Military Personnel

Savings are based on the elimination of two officers and five enlisted billets.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2090 - NAS Miramar, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	61,841	0	3,530	0	2,586	11,100	79,057
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(12)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(12)
Studies	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	500	5,636	4,556	457	191	0	11,340
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	4,221	0	0	4,221
Other	0	5,968	0	0	0	0	5,968
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>62,341</b>	<b>11,616</b>	<b>8,086</b>	<b>4,678</b>	<b>2,777</b>	<b>11,100</b>	<b>100,598</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>62,341</b>	<b>11,616</b>	<b>8,086</b>	<b>4,678</b>	<b>2,777</b>	<b>11,100</b>	<b>100,598</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-1,301	0	-4,873	-6,174
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2,383)	(4,888)	(6,826)	(14,097)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	2,383	4,888	6,826	14,097
Operations & Maintenance	0	-71	0	-10,440	-40,221	-40,880	-91,612
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-339	-6,193	-21,448	-26,962	-22,873	-77,815
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-1	-333	-333	-333	-333	-1,333
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-22	-351	-900	-894	-898	-3,065
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-410</b>	<b>-6,193</b>	<b>-30,806</b>	<b>-62,295</b>	<b>-61,800</b>	<b>-161,504</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	61,841	0	3,530	-1,301	2,586	6,227	72,883
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2,383)	(4,888)	(6,826)	(14,097)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	2,383	4,888	6,826	14,097
Environmental	(0)	(12)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(12)
Studies	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	500	5,565	4,556	-9,983	-40,030	-40,880	-80,272
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-339	-6,193	-17,227	-26,962	-22,873	-73,594
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	5,968	0	0	0	0	5,968
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-1	-333	-333	-333	-333	-1,333
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-22	-351	-900	-894	-898	-3,065
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>62,341</b>	<b>11,206</b>	<b>1,893</b>	<b>-26,128</b>	<b>-59,518</b>	<b>-50,700</b>	<b>-60,906</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2090 - NAS Miramar, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Navy aircraft squadrons and support tenants will be reassigned to other Naval Air Stations. The transfer of Naval Air Station (NAS), Miramar, to U.S. Marine Corps (USMC) occurred 31 October 1997. The activities at NAS Miramar supported tactical fighter and airborne early warning aviation operations and training for the U.S. Pacific Fleet. NAS Miramar also provided support for Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Naval Air Reserve squadrons and units, Defense Nuclear Agency research, Naval Consolidated Brig, Naval Alcohol Rehabilitation Center, and 76 other miscellaneous tenants. The following supports this realignment action:

- o Relocation of Navy aviation squadrons to other Naval Air Stations (primarily NAS Lemoore).
- o Transfer the USMC from MCAS El Toro/MCAS Tustin.
- o Relocation of Navy TOPGUN fighter training and Carrier Airborne Early Warning School to NAS Fallon.
- o Single siting of Fleet Readiness Squadron to east coast air stations.

BRAC 95 redirected all west coast F-14 squadrons to NAS Oceana; and all west coast E-2 squadrons to NAS Point Mugu, CA.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

**Military Construction**

		FY1994 Amount (\$000) -----
P-156T LEMOORE NAS	MAINTENANCE HANGAR PHASE I	21,821
P-310T FALLON NAS	ACFT PARKING APRON PHASE I	6,500
P-312T FALLON NAS	ACFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	1,200
P-314T FALLON NAS	ACADEMIC INST BLDG	6,500
P-315T FALLON NAS	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR PHAS	8,950
P-305T FALLON NAS	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	16,870
	Subtotal	61,841
		FY1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-319T FALLON NAS	DOMESTIC WATER STORAGE	2,230
P-320T FALLON NAS	WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1,300

		Subtotal	3,530
			FY1998
			Amount
			(\$000)
			-----
P-186T LEMOORE NAS	CAG ADMIN OFFICE BLDG		2,586
		Subtotal	2,586
			FY1999
			Amount
			(\$000)
			-----
P-308T FALLON NAS	BOQ (PHASE II)		11,100
		Subtotal	11,100
		Total	79,057

#### Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

#### Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

#### Environmental

##### Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was completed in FY 1995 to analyze the impacts of the relocation of assets to NAS Lemoore. Issues addressed in the EIS included impacts to wetlands, endangered species, increased air and water emissions, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. The EIS included an airspace analysis to evaluate changes in air operations and an Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone update and noise study to address attendant noise and safety issues.

An Environmental Assessment (EA) was used to analyze the impacts of relocation of assets to NAS Fallon. Issues addressed in the EA included impacts to wetlands, endangered species, increased air and water emissions, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from the realignment and associated military construction. The EA also included a noise study to analyze changes in air operations and attendant noise and safety issues. The EA was completed in FY 1994. Funding for NEPA documentation for the relocation of assets from NAS Miramar to NAS Oceana has been included in the budget submittal for the closure of NAS Cecil Field.



NAS Miramar is to be retained by the Department of the Navy and reused as a Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS).

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

NAS Miramar is a receiving site for the closures of MCAS Tustin and MCAS El Toro, respectively. Costs include: (1) special projects required at receiving sites caused by relocation of activities; (2) transportation, packing/crating, freight, etc. for relocating all decommissioning/ disestablishing activities (including tenants), demolishing, etc., including costs to move simulators to NAS Oceana, NAS Norfolk, and NAS Lemoore; and (3) costs associated with realignment planning requirements at NAS Miramar and all receiving sites, including collateral equipment requirements for projects in the year following the extra space completion. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment of the activity.

Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other

Collateral equipment costs as a result of relocation requirements.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Military Construction

MCON projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

Family Housing Operations

Provides for the operation and maintenance cost for 602 additional family housing units at Lemoore and 60 units at Fallon.

Operations and Maintenance

NAS Miramar is a realigning action, therefore, O&M reductions at the installation are offset by plus-up at receiving sites due to relocation of

functions/activities. Net savings occur after FY 1997. Recurring Maintenance Real Property (MRP) at receiving sites occurs later in realigning period. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

#### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1280 - Naval Station, Mobile, AL

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(50)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(50)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	50	0	0	0	0	50
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	1,180	385	2	0	20	0	1,587
Military Personnel - PCS	420	0	0	0	0	0	420
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>1,600</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,057</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>1,600</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,057</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(-54)	(-55)	(-56)	(-57)	(-58)	(-280)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-54	-55	-56	-57	-58	-280
Operations & Maintenance	-1,717	-7,019	-7,063	-7,075	-7,105	-7,127	-37,106
Military Personnel - PCS	-2,223	-5,305	-6,939	-7,376	-7,513	-7,414	-36,770
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-83	-83	-83	-83	-83	-83	-498
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-176	-198	-198	-198	-198	-968
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-3,940</b>	<b>-12,378</b>	<b>-14,057</b>	<b>-14,507</b>	<b>-14,675</b>	<b>-14,599</b>	<b>-74,156</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(-54)	(-55)	(-56)	(-57)	(-58)	(-280)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	-54	-55	-56	-57	-58	-280
Environmental	(0)	(50)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(50)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	50	0	0	0	0	50
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-537	-6,634	-7,061	-7,075	-7,085	-7,127	-35,519
Military Personnel - PCS	-1,803	-5,305	-6,939	-7,376	-7,513	-7,414	-36,350
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-83	-83	-83	-83	-83	-83	-498
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-176	-198	-198	-198	-198	-968
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-2,340</b>	<b>-11,943</b>	<b>-14,055</b>	<b>-14,507</b>	<b>-14,655</b>	<b>-14,599</b>	<b>-72,099</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1280 - Naval Station, Mobile, AL**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of Naval Station (NAVSTA) Mobile which subsequently closed in June 1994. The property was conveyed to the City of Mobile in June 1995.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Property reverted to the City of Mobile under Economic Development Conveyance (EDC) provisions. Costs identified are for monitoring of the EDC.

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None .

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1240 - NETC, Newport, RI

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	1,000	0	0	0	0	1,000
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	80	20	0	0	0	0	100
Military Personnel - PCS	1,254	0	0	0	0	0	1,254
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>1,334</b>	<b>1,020</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,354</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>1,334</b>	<b>1,020</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,354</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(-250)	(-1,032)	(-1,671)	(-1,728)	(-1,766)	(-1,805)	(-8,252)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	-250	-1,032	-1,671	-1,728	-1,766	-1,805	-8,252
Operations & Maintenance	-2,375	-3,170	-3,262	-2,169	-2,216	-2,265	-15,457
Military Personnel - PCS	-1,202	-2,451	-3,485	-3,303	-2,892	-2,914	-16,247
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-54
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-63	-74	-71	-65	-65	-338
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-3,827</b>	<b>-6,653</b>	<b>-8,418</b>	<b>-7,200</b>	<b>-6,874</b>	<b>-6,984</b>	<b>-39,956</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	1,000	0	0	0	0	1,000
Family Housing	(-250)	(-1,032)	(-1,671)	(-1,728)	(-1,766)	(-1,805)	(-8,252)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	-250	-1,032	-1,671	-1,728	-1,766	-1,805	-8,252
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-2,295	-3,150	-3,262	-2,169	-2,216	-2,265	-15,357
Military Personnel - PCS	52	-2,451	-3,485	-3,303	-2,892	-2,914	-14,993
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-9	-54
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-63	-74	-71	-65	-65	-338
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-2,493</b>	<b>-5,633</b>	<b>-8,418</b>	<b>-7,200</b>	<b>-6,874</b>	<b>-6,984</b>	<b>-37,602</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1240 - NETC, Newport, RI**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Naval Education and Training Center (NETC) Newport terminated its mission to berth ships in June 1994. Ships have been realigned/relocated to Naval Station (NS) Mayport, Florida, and NS Norfolk, Virginia. Piers, waterfront facilities, and related property will be retained by NETC Newport. The Education and Training Center will remain to satisfy its education and training mission.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1995 Amount (\$000) -----
P-426T NEWPORT NETC	PIER FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	1,000
	Total	1,000

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

Funding for National Environmental Policy Act documentation for the relocation of assets from NETC Newport to NS Norfolk and NS Mayport has been included in budget submittals for the closure of NS Charleston, SC.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent

change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment of the activity.

Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS Costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Family Housing Operations

The family housing inventory at NETC Newport consists of 1851 government-owned units. Operation of 400 units ceased in FY 1995.

Operations and Maintenance

Reduced base support pierside and closure of the SIMA. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1940 - Family Housing Office, Niagara, NY

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(106)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(106)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	106	0	0	0	0	0	106
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	12	320	0	0	0	0	332
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(-760)	(-777)	(-794)	(-812)	(-3,143)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	-760	-777	-794	-812	-3,143
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-15
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-760</b>	<b>-777</b>	<b>-794</b>	<b>-812</b>	<b>-3,143</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(-760)	(-777)	(-794)	(-812)	(-3,143)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	-760	-777	-794	-812	-3,143
Environmental	(106)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(106)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	106	0	0	0	0	0	106
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	12	320	0	0	0	0	332
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	-15
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>-760</b>	<b>-777</b>	<b>-794</b>	<b>-812</b>	<b>-2,705</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1940 - Family Housing Office, Niagara, NY**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The DOD Family Housing at Niagara Falls, N.Y. closed. This facility had 111 family housing units located adjacent to Niagara Air Force Base. These units were managed by the Housing Department, Navy Public Works Center, Great Lakes, Illinois and the property was sold on 17 October 1995 for \$1,113,000.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

Action complete. No additional funds required.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

The property was sold on 17 October 1995 for \$1,113,000.

## **SAVINGS**

### Family Housing Operations

The family housing inventory at DOD Niagara consisted of 111 government owned units. Operation of units ceased at the end of FY 1995. Savings were taken from FY 94/95 budget submit.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1400 - NADEP Norfolk, VA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	12,600	0	0	0	0	12,600
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(302)	(430)	(2,875)	(5,046)	(0)	(0)	(8,653)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	302	430	2,875	5,046	0	0	8,653
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	15,474	46,935	83,934	7,537	3,592	0	157,472
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	37	0	0	0	37
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>15,776</b>	<b>59,965</b>	<b>86,846</b>	<b>12,583</b>	<b>3,592</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>178,762</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>15,776</b>	<b>59,965</b>	<b>86,846</b>	<b>12,583</b>	<b>3,592</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>178,762</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-17,800	0	0	0	0	0	-17,800
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-141	-1,160	-3,617	-26,052	-26,596	-26,640	-84,206
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-25,202	-25,807	-26,426	-77,435
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-1,005	-2,116	-2,116	-2,116	-2,116	-9,469
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-130
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-17,941</b>	<b>-1,160</b>	<b>-3,617</b>	<b>-51,254</b>	<b>-52,403</b>	<b>-53,066</b>	<b>-179,441</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	-17,800	12,600	0	0	0	0	-5,200
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(302)	(430)	(2,875)	(5,046)	(0)	(0)	(8,653)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	302	430	2,875	5,046	0	0	8,653
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	15,333	45,775	80,317	-18,515	-23,004	-26,640	73,266
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	37	0	0	0	37
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-25,202	-25,807	-26,426	-77,435
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-1,005	-2,116	-2,116	-2,116	-2,116	-9,469
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-26	-26	-26	-26	-26	-130
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-2,165</b>	<b>58,805</b>	<b>83,229</b>	<b>-38,671</b>	<b>-48,811</b>	<b>-53,066</b>	<b>-679</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1400 - NADEP Norfolk, VA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP) Norfolk. Naval Aviation Depot Norfolk was disestablished 31 March 1997. NADEP Norfolk was a tenant activity of Naval Air Station (NAS) Norfolk. The facilities and land have been retained by NAS Norfolk. F-14 aircraft and engine workload transitioned to NADEP Jacksonville. Missile workload transitioned to other inter-service activities. Component, manufacturing and other support workload transitioned to other depot maintenance activities at Cherry Point, NC; Jacksonville, FL; and San Diego (North Island), CA and to private industry.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1995 Amount (\$000) -----
P-219T JACKSONVILLE NADEP	COMPONENT REWORK FAC REHAB	7,400
P-221T JACKSONVILLE NADEP	STORAGE FACILITY	2,400
P-224T JACKSONVILLE NADEP	ACFT ACOUSTICAL ENCL FAC	2,800
	Subtotal	12,600
	Total	12,600

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

An Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) was completed to document environmental condition prior to transfer of property to COMNAVBASE Norfolk and assumption of work in the DERA program. No unfunded requirements remain.

#### Installation Restoration

No requirement.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS Costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

None.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Savings are the result of removing projects from the FYDP.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Savings reflected represent the aggregate savings of closing NADEP Norfolk and transitioning workload to NADEPs Cherry Point, Jacksonville, and North Island. Savings include civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

#### Other

Savings reflect procurements that will not be funded under the DBOF program because of base closure decisions and customer savings associated with the closure of depot facilities which had excess capacity.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2020 - Naval Hospital, Oakland, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	891	0	891
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(397)	(1,100)	(1,846)	(501)	(278)	(10)	(4,132)
Studies	0	0	72	75	151	10	308
Compliance	397	1,100	1,627	426	127	0	3,677
Restoration	0	0	147	0	0	0	147
Operations & Maintenance	167	0	8,606	3,364	2,105	1,734	15,976
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	4,340	0	0	0	4,340
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>14,792</b>	<b>3,865</b>	<b>3,274</b>	<b>1,744</b>	<b>25,339</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>14,792</b>	<b>3,865</b>	<b>3,274</b>	<b>1,744</b>	<b>25,339</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-4,389	-4,485	-8,874
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-5,677	-11,540	-11,792	-12,082	-41,091
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-377	-379	-379	-379	-1,514
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-238	-238	-238	-238	-952
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-5,677</b>	<b>-11,540</b>	<b>-16,181</b>	<b>-16,567</b>	<b>-49,965</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	891	0	891
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(397)	(1,100)	(1,846)	(501)	(278)	(10)	(4,132)
Studies	0	0	72	75	151	10	308
Compliance	397	1,100	1,627	426	127	0	3,677
Restoration	0	0	147	0	0	0	147
Operations & Maintenance	167	0	8,606	3,364	-2,284	-2,751	7,102
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-1,337	-11,540	-11,792	-12,082	-36,751
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-377	-379	-379	-379	-1,514
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-238	-238	-238	-238	-952
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>9,115</b>	<b>-7,675</b>	<b>-12,907</b>	<b>-14,823</b>	<b>-24,626</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2020 - Naval Hospital, Oakland, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of the Naval Hospital (NH) Oakland and associated branch clinics in coordination with the realignment/closure of non-medical service assets in the Oakland area. NH Oakland closed on 30 September 1996. The disposal strategy is for a negotiated or public sale at fair market value. Costs are based on final property disposal by June 1999.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1998 Amount (\$000) -----
P-124T NSB SAN DIEGO	PIER RENOVATION	891
	Total	891

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation has been completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. The ROD was issued in 1998.

Compliance

All actions are complete.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support closure of the activity. Costs include real estate, caretaker and other related labor,

support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, surveys, and marketing efforts.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if property is sold at fair market or discounted value.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Operations and Maintenance

Savings will result from elimination, attrition, or retirement of civilian personnel. The primary savings will result from eliminating infrastructure operations and maintenance costs.

#### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2010 - Naval Hospital, Orlando, FL

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	18,051	0	6,852	0	24,903
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	162	4,052	1,052	290	0	0	5,556
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,412	346	0	0	0	1,758
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>5,464</b>	<b>19,449</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>6,852</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32,217</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>5,464</b>	<b>19,449</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>6,852</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32,217</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-2,818	-5,731	-5,852	-5,994	-20,395
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-30	-45	-45	-45	-165
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-129	-129	-129	-129	-516
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2,818</b>	<b>-5,731</b>	<b>-5,852</b>	<b>-5,994</b>	<b>-20,395</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	18,051	0	6,852	0	24,903
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	162	4,052	1,052	290	0	0	5,556
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,412	-2,472	-5,731	-5,852	-5,994	-18,637
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-30	-45	-45	-45	-165
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-129	-129	-129	-129	-516
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>5,464</b>	<b>16,631</b>	<b>-5,441</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>-5,994</b>	<b>11,822</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY

2010 - Naval Hospital, Orlando, FL

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The Naval Hospital at Orlando, Florida was closed on June 8, 1995. The hospital was transferred to the United States Department of Veterans Affairs in March 1997.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1996 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-584T GREAT LAKES RTC	MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	3,277
P-586T GREAT LAKES RTC	MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION	4,219
P-590T GREAT LAKES RTC	MEDICAL CLINIC	10,555
	Subtotal	18,051
		FY1998 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-604T GREAT LAKES RTC	DENTAL CLINIC	6,852
	Subtotal	6,852
	Total	24,903

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1580 - Naval Training Ctr, Orlando, FL

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	10,700	47,940	35,060	0	2,686	0	96,386
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(3,151)	(8,538)	(3,104)	(8,191)	(6,894)	(4,012)	(33,890)
Studies	130	0	0	10	15	15	170
Compliance	1,513	2,538	2,499	3,259	1,856	1,184	12,849
Restoration	1,508	6,000	605	4,922	5,023	2,813	20,871
Operations & Maintenance	2,017	5,772	7,403	3,056	232	3,992	22,472
Military Personnel - PCS	0	337	66	2,723	0	0	3,126
Other	0	0	308	243	0	0	551
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>15,868</b>	<b>62,587</b>	<b>45,941</b>	<b>14,213</b>	<b>9,812</b>	<b>8,004</b>	<b>156,425</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>15,868</b>	<b>62,587</b>	<b>45,941</b>	<b>14,213</b>	<b>9,812</b>	<b>8,004</b>	<b>156,425</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	-7,100	0	-677	-319	-8,096
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(-1,884)	(-4,812)	(-4,918)	(-11,614)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	-1,884	-4,812	-4,918	-11,614
Operations & Maintenance	1,086	-4,263	-9,338	-10,453	-16,811	-17,642	-57,421
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-8,406	-9,956	-8,112	-3,942	-4,888	-35,304
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-112	-236	-378	-378	-378	-1,482
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-248	-159	-420	-438	-442	-1,707
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>1,086</b>	<b>-12,669</b>	<b>-26,394</b>	<b>-20,449</b>	<b>-26,242</b>	<b>-27,767</b>	<b>-112,435</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	10,700	47,940	27,960	0	2,009	-319	88,290
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(-1,884)	(-4,812)	(-4,918)	(-11,614)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	-1,884	-4,812	-4,918	-11,614
Environmental	(3,151)	(8,538)	(3,104)	(8,191)	(6,894)	(4,012)	(33,890)
Studies	130	0	0	10	15	15	170
Compliance	1,513	2,538	2,499	3,259	1,856	1,184	12,849
Restoration	1,508	6,000	605	4,922	5,023	2,813	20,871
Operations & Maintenance	3,103	1,509	-1,935	-7,397	-16,579	-13,650	-34,949
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-8,069	-9,890	-5,389	-3,942	-4,888	-32,178
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	308	243	0	0	551
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-112	-236	-378	-378	-378	-1,482
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-248	-159	-420	-438	-442	-1,707
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>16,954</b>	<b>49,918</b>	<b>19,547</b>	<b>-6,236</b>	<b>-16,430</b>	<b>-19,763</b>	<b>43,990</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1580 - Naval Training Ctr, Orlando, FL**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of Naval Training Center (NTC), Orlando, which will close April 1999. This is a delay of one year based upon the Nuclear School (BRAC 1995 redirect) relocation to NWS Charleston, SC vice New London, CT in that year. NTC is composed of several real estate tracts: Main site (Administration and Training); Herndon Annex (Warehouse); Area C (Warehouse); and McCoy Annex (Housing). A Reuse Plan has been adopted by the City of Orlando. The anticipated final disposal date is December 2000.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

**Military Construction**

		FY1994-1996 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-550T GREAT LAKES NTC	MESS HALL MODERNIZATION	6,710
P-575T GREAT LAKES NTC	ELECTRONIC TECHNICAL TRAINING BU	215
P-585T GREAT LAKES NTC	BEQ MODIFICATIONS	2,500
P-588T GREAT LAKES NTC	BEQ RENOVATIONS	325
P-589T GREAT LAKES NTC	MESS HALL UPGRADE	20
P-591T GREAT LAKES NTC	SMALL ARMS RANGE UPGRADE	460
P-592T GREAT LAKES NTC	DRILL FIELD UPGRADE	470
P-515T GREAT LAKES NTC	INDOOR SMALL ARMS RANGE	4,600
P-576T GREAT LAKES NTC	RADIAC CALIBRATION TRAIN FAC	400
P-580T GREAT LAKES NTC	COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	1,920
P-582T GREAT LAKES NTC	BEQ RENOVATIONS	10,020
P-597T GREAT LAKES NTC	SEAMAN APPRENTICE SCHOOL	6,100
P-599T GREAT LAKES NTC	BEQ PHASE I	19,600
P-605T GREAT LAKES NTC	AIRMAN APPRENTICE TRAINING FACIL	5,300
P-164T GREAT LAKES NTC	FIRE STATION	2,560
P-579T GREAT LAKES NTC	BRIG	420
P-583T GREAT LAKES NTC	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,460
P-619T GREAT LAKES NTC	BEQ PHASE II	30,620
	Subtotal	93,700
		FY1998 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-001T ORLANDO	FACILITY MODS	2,686
	Subtotal	2,686
	Total	96,386

**Family Housing Construction**

No requirement.

## Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

## Environmental

### Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was completed for the disposal and reuse of the NTC Orlando, and a Record of Decision was signed on November 16, 1996. Funding for additional interim lease categorical exclusions will be required.

### Compliance

An environmental baseline survey (EBS) and the BRAC Cleanup Plan (BCP) for the NTC Orlando have been completed. An Asbestos survey is complete, with abatement of the friable, accessible and damaged asbestos completed in FY 1997. Radon survey is complete, with no further action required. Several grey area sites are currently being investigated. Approximately half of the 309 tanks (252 USTs, 57 ASTs) have been removed. Additional tank assessments are underway.

Design and corrective measures implementation are required for four Groups of Areas of Concern. These groups include: AOC Group ACM (Miscellaneous Areas), AOC Group 3 (McCoy Annex), AOC Group 4 (Admin storage nuclear school and recreational areas); and AOC Group 5 (public works shops and auto facility). ACM includes asbestos abatement for areas of the base still occupied by the Navy Nuclear Power Training Command (NNPTC). The McCoy Annex Group finishes some UST requirements. Group 4 work involves contaminants such as PAHs, Arsenic, PCEs and aluminum in the soil and ground water around the NNPTC school and public works facilities. Group 5 is around the base's public works facilities and involves POL, oils and solvents. The EBS will be updated and both ASTs and USTs will also be addressed.

### Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for mixed use as well as DoD and federal facilities. The property will be conveyed to the City of Orlando via EDC and PBC and to other Federal Agencies via Fed to Fed transfers.

Funding is phased to meet regulatory cleanup requirements and planned conveyance dates. There are a total of three restoration sites remaining to be completed. This budget will complete all environmental cleanup requirements (except Long Term Maintenance and Operation needs) by 2001. The LTM/O requirements occurring after FY 2001 will be funded by Environmental Restoration, Navy (ER,N).

#### Operations and Maintenance

Activity costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity. Costs identified are for caretaker and real estate including labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title search, parcel surveys, lease arrangements, and marketing efforts.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the base.

#### Other

Procurement of collateral equipment at receiver sites.

#### Land Sales Revenues

Sales revenues will only be generated for those portions of the base transferred by an EDC, by public sale or through a negotiated sale. Public benefit conveyances and fed-to-fed transfers do not generate revenues. Revenues reported in FY 1995 were the result of lease agreements. FY 1997 revenues resulted from the sales of the McCoy Housing Annex and the Fairwinds Credit Union.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Prior programmed projects canceled.

#### Family Housing Operations

Savings are a result of a reduction in family housing operations costs.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Reflects closure of schools at NTC Orlando and realignment of continuing classroom requirements to NTC Great Lakes and NWS Charleston. Redundant support activities will disestablish and excess personnel will be separated. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the closure of the activity.

#### Military Personnel

Savings are a result of a reduction in military billets.





**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1390 - NADEP Pensacola, FL

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	21,500	0	0	0	0	0	21,500
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(234)	(1,936)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2,170)
Studies	200	0	0	0	0	0	200
Compliance	34	1,936	0	0	0	0	1,970
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	32,155	49,234	14,642	2,661	908	0	99,600
Military Personnel - PCS	0	49	0	0	0	0	49
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>53,889</b>	<b>51,219</b>	<b>14,642</b>	<b>2,661</b>	<b>908</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>123,319</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>53,889</b>	<b>51,219</b>	<b>14,642</b>	<b>2,661</b>	<b>908</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>123,319</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	-1,453	0	0	-1,453
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	-48,129	-49,284	-50,467	-51,678	-199,558
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-24,794	-25,389	-25,998	-26,622	-102,803
Civilian ES (End Strength)	348	-818	-1,136	-1,136	-1,136	-1,136	-5,014
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-36	-36	-36	-36	-36	-180
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-72,923</b>	<b>-76,126</b>	<b>-76,465</b>	<b>-78,300</b>	<b>-303,814</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	21,500	0	0	-1,453	0	0	20,047
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(234)	(1,936)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2,170)
Studies	200	0	0	0	0	0	200
Compliance	34	1,936	0	0	0	0	1,970
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	32,155	49,234	-33,487	-46,623	-49,559	-51,678	-99,958
Military Personnel - PCS	0	49	0	0	0	0	49
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-24,794	-25,389	-25,998	-26,622	-102,803
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	348	-818	-1,136	-1,136	-1,136	-1,136	-5,014
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-36	-36	-36	-36	-36	-180
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>53,889</b>	<b>51,219</b>	<b>-58,281</b>	<b>-73,465</b>	<b>-75,557</b>	<b>-78,300</b>	<b>-180,495</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1390 - NADEP Pensacola, FL**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP) Pensacola. NADEP Pensacola was a tenant activity of the Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola. Upon closure, on 1 October 1995, the facilities and land were retained by NAS Pensacola.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994 Amount (\$000) -----
P-962T CHERRY POINT NADEP	OFFICE BUILDING	8,177
P-965T CHERRY POINT NADEP	HANGAR ADDITION AND ALTERATIONS	9,823
P-966T CHERRY POINT NADEP	ACFT ACCESS SHOPS ADD'NS	3,500
	Subtotal	21,500
	Total	21,500

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1340 - Naval Supply Ctr, Pensacola, FL

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	1,572	1,329	2,396	202	0	0	5,499
Military Personnel - PCS	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>1,572</b>	<b>1,351</b>	<b>2,396</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,521</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>1,572</b>	<b>1,351</b>	<b>2,396</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,521</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	-569	0	0	0	-569
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	-1,753	-3,360	-10,408	-10,767	-10,822	-37,110
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-4,050	-4,000	-3,950	-3,950	-15,950
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-10	-31	-67	-85	-85	-85	-363
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-17	-17	-17	-17	-17	-85
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-1,753</b>	<b>-7,979</b>	<b>-14,408</b>	<b>-14,717</b>	<b>-14,772</b>	<b>-53,629</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	-569	0	0	0	-569
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	1,572	-424	-964	-10,206	-10,767	-10,822	-31,611
Military Personnel - PCS	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-4,050	-4,000	-3,950	-3,950	-15,950
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-10	-31	-67	-85	-85	-85	-363
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-17	-17	-17	-17	-17	-85
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>1,572</b>	<b>-402</b>	<b>-5,583</b>	<b>-14,206</b>	<b>-14,717</b>	<b>-14,772</b>	<b>-48,108</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1340 - Naval Supply Ctr, Pensacola, FL**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The Fleet and Industrial Supply Center (FISC) in Pensacola, Florida, closed in September 1995. Some residual functions of FISC transferred to NAS Pensacola for continued support in the geographic area. No property will be excessed, since FISC was a tenant of NAS Pensacola.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the realignment.

Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average costs factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the activity.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Military Construction

Projects which were in the FYDP were cancelled.

Operations and Maintenance

Operational savings accrue from the elimination of positions because of BRAC. Positions that were eliminated because of previously existing budget constraints were not included in the savings calculation. Positions transferred to other activities were also excluded from the savings calculation.

Other

Customer savings associated with the closure of a DBOF facility.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1780 - Naval Civil Engineering Lab, Port Hueneme, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	11,050	9,550	0	0	0	0	20,600
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(182)	(2,100)	(51)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2,333)
Studies	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
Compliance	116	2,000	43	0	0	0	2,159
Restoration	66	100	0	0	0	0	166
Operations & Maintenance	0	3,700	2,141	195	0	0	6,036
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>11,232</b>	<b>15,350</b>	<b>2,192</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28,969</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>11,232</b>	<b>15,350</b>	<b>2,192</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28,969</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	-1,582	-1,614	-1,643	-1,680	-1,717	-8,236
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-2,421	-2,464	-2,520	-2,576	-9,981
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-49	-64	-64	-64	-64	-305
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-1	-1	-10	-12
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-1,582</b>	<b>-4,035</b>	<b>-4,107</b>	<b>-4,200</b>	<b>-4,293</b>	<b>-18,217</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	11,050	9,550	0	0	0	0	20,600
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(182)	(2,100)	(51)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2,333)
Studies	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
Compliance	116	2,000	43	0	0	0	2,159
Restoration	66	100	0	0	0	0	166
Operations & Maintenance	0	2,118	527	-1,448	-1,680	-1,717	-2,200
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-2,421	-2,464	-2,520	-2,576	-9,981
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-49	-64	-64	-64	-64	-305
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-1	-1	-10	-12
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>11,232</b>	<b>13,768</b>	<b>-1,843</b>	<b>-3,912</b>	<b>-4,200</b>	<b>-4,293</b>	<b>10,752</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1780 - Naval Civil Engineering Lab, Port Hueneme, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) closed in June 1996. All necessary functions, personnel, equipment, and support were transferred and realigned with Underwater Construction Team TWO and the Naval Facilities Engineering Service Center (NFESC), located at the Naval Construction Battalion Center (NCBC), Port Hueneme, California. The NCEL property was conveyed to the Oxnard Harbor District under a port benefit conveyance in March 1997.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994-1995 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-012T PORT HUENEME NCBC	NAVFAC ENGINEERING SERVICE CNTR	11,050
P-013T PORT HUENEME NCBC	NAVFAC ENGINEERING SERVICE CNTR	9,550
	Total	20,600

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs included temporary modular facilities for functions displaced by new construction, equipment removal and transportation, and initial outfitting required by the new facility. Civilian personnel one-time costs included employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change

of station as necessary. Also included were caretaker, real estate, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property.

Action complete. No additional funds required.

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

The property was assigned to the Maritime Administration and then conveyed to the Oxnard Harbor District under a port-related public benefit conveyance, so no revenues were received.

**SAVINGS**

Operations and Maintenance

Operations and maintenance costs of the new facilities are expected to be lower than those at the current site.

Other

Customer savings as a result of the closure of a DBOF activity.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1810 - SUBMEPP, Portsmouth, NH

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	1,700	0	0	0	0	1,700
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	45	0	145	500	81	0	771
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1,700</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,471</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1,700</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,471</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-354	-2,502	-3,992	-4,606	-5,103	-5,630	-22,187
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-439	-1,170	-1,593	-2,678	-5,880
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-12	-16	-23	-39	-48	-68	-206
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-354</b>	<b>-2,502</b>	<b>-4,431</b>	<b>-5,776</b>	<b>-6,696</b>	<b>-8,308</b>	<b>-28,067</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	1,700	0	0	0	0	1,700
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-309	-2,502	-3,847	-4,106	-5,022	-5,630	-21,416
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-439	-1,170	-1,593	-2,678	-5,880
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-12	-16	-23	-39	-48	-68	-206
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-309</b>	<b>-802</b>	<b>-4,286</b>	<b>-5,276</b>	<b>-6,615</b>	<b>-8,308</b>	<b>-25,596</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1810 - SUBMEPP, Portsmouth, NH**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Submarine Maintenance, Engineering, Planning, and Procurement (SUBMEPP), formerly in leased space in Portsmouth, NH, has been disestablished and realigned as a tenant in government owned space in the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard on 1 September 1996.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1995 AMOUNT (\$000) -----
256T	KITTERY ME PORTSMOUTH NSY      ADMIN BUILDING MODS	1,700
Total:		1,700

A reprogramming package has been submitted and approved making this an FY-96 project.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Operations and Maintenance

Includes elimination of lease cost and reduced civilian end-strength to ensure balance between capacity and future force and resource levels.

Other

Savings in budgeted Ship Construction Navy costs for General and Administrative overhead.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1950 - Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Bruno, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-541	-1,548	-2,089
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	-18	-24	-42
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-541</b>	<b>-1,548</b>	<b>-2,089</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-541	-1,548	-2,089
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	-18	-24	-42
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-541</b>	<b>-1,548</b>	<b>-2,089</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1950 - Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Bruno, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Bruno, California, was realigned from a direct reporting engineering field division (EFD) to an engineering field activity (EFA). As EFA West, it retains necessary facilities, personnel, and equipment to support the regional realignments and closures in the San Francisco Bay area. The realignment went into effect in the first quarter of FY 1995.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment of the activity.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1570 - Naval Training Ctr, San Diego, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	5,400	13,980	8,583	0	1,821	0	29,784
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(4,694)	(3,000)	(3,677)	(7,171)	(3,778)	(2,831)	(25,151)
Studies	0	0	152	171	230	31	584
Compliance	1,872	1,000	1,877	415	150	100	5,414
Restoration	2,822	2,000	1,648	6,585	3,398	2,700	19,153
Operations & Maintenance	663	3,769	10,096	2,603	2,622	1,359	21,112
Military Personnel - PCS	800	346	361	416	0	0	1,923
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>11,557</b>	<b>21,095</b>	<b>22,717</b>	<b>10,190</b>	<b>8,221</b>	<b>4,190</b>	<b>77,970</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>11,557</b>	<b>21,095</b>	<b>22,717</b>	<b>10,190</b>	<b>8,221</b>	<b>4,190</b>	<b>77,970</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-700	0	-7,863	-1,115	-12,451	0	-22,129
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(355)	(363)	(718)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	355	363	718
Operations & Maintenance	-4,684	-8,011	-10,868	-15,518	-23,134	-23,658	-85,873
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-24,081	-4,663	-8,706	-13,876	-14,807	-66,133
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-41	-41	-182	-182	-182	-628
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-147	-112	-370	-402	-407	-1,438
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-5,384</b>	<b>-32,092</b>	<b>-23,394</b>	<b>-25,339</b>	<b>-49,106</b>	<b>-38,102</b>	<b>-173,417</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	4,700	13,980	720	-1,115	-10,630	0	7,655
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(355)	(363)	(718)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	355	363	718
Environmental	(4,694)	(3,000)	(3,677)	(7,171)	(3,778)	(2,831)	(25,151)
Studies	0	0	152	171	230	31	584
Compliance	1,872	1,000	1,877	415	150	100	5,414
Restoration	2,822	2,000	1,648	6,585	3,398	2,700	19,153
Operations & Maintenance	-4,021	-4,242	-772	-12,915	-20,512	-22,299	-64,761
Military Personnel - PCS	800	-23,735	-4,302	-8,290	-13,876	-14,807	-64,210
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-41	-41	-182	-182	-182	-628
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-147	-112	-370	-402	-407	-1,438
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>6,173</b>	<b>-10,997</b>	<b>-677</b>	<b>-15,149</b>	<b>-40,885</b>	<b>-33,912</b>	<b>-95,447</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1570 - Naval Training Ctr, San Diego, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of Naval Training Center (NTC), San Diego. NTC operationally closed April 1997. Family Housing, FITCPAC, CATS, and Admiral Kidd Club area property will remain in Navy inventory to support other Navy requirements in the San Diego area. The Reuse plan was completed in July 1996. The City of San Diego has an interim lease with Navy for Camp Nimitz and a major portion of the main base. Disposal of all property is planned by March 2002.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

**Military Construction**

		FY1994-1996 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-608T GREAT LAKES NTC	HT 'C' SCHOOL PHASE I	5,400
P-593T GREAT LAKES NTC	DP TRAINING BUILDING UPGRADE	1,050
P-595T GREAT LAKES NTC	MACHINERY REPAIRMAN TRAINING FAC	8,000
P-598T GREAT LAKES NTC	BUILDING RENOVATIONS	4,930
P-023T SAN DIEGO NS	APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	8,403
P-386T SAN DIEGO FASWTC	COSBAL/SUPPLY FACILITY	180
	Subtotal	27,963
		FY1998 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-175T SAN DIEGO PWC	PUBLIC WORKS SHOP	1,821
	Subtotal	1,821
	Total	29,784

**Family Housing Construction**

No requirement.

**Family Housing Operations**

No requirement.

**Environmental**

**Studies**

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. The EIS and Record of Decision

are expected to be completed in 1999. NEPA documentation is required for interim leases.

#### Compliance

Sixteen USTs have been removed, surface LBP has been encapsulated. Asbestos surveys are complete. Required abatement of the friable, accessible, and damaged asbestos is complete. There are five remaining underground storage tanks (USTs) sites: Site 10 closure is expected in Sep. 98, and Site 8 is in the Monitoring Phase. UST Sites 3 and 13 will be transferred with land to the Marine Corps in 1998. An Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) and BRAC Cleanup Plan (BCP) for the Naval Training Center have been completed.

Requirements: The funding required in FY99-01 totalling \$300K is for site specific EBS to foster conveyance of individual parcels.

#### Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for mixed use, commercial, residential, educational and recreational use as well as an airport extension and federal and community public safety facilities.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, collateral equipment, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity. Also included are caretaker, real estate and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, and marketing efforts.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

Parcels at NTC San Diego are being conveyed to the U.S. Border Patrol, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and U.S. Marine Corps at no cost. The remaining property is planned for transfer under an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC) and public benefit conveyances. Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the EDC includes monetary compensation to the Navy.



## **SAVINGS**

### Military Construction

Prior programmed projects canceled.

### Operations and Maintenance

Reflects closure of schools at NTC San Diego and realignment of continuing classroom and other requirements to other activities in the San Diego area and NTC Great Lakes. Redundant support activities will disestablish and excess personnel will be separated. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2060 - Public Works Ctr, San Francisco, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(331)	(1,250)	(1,372)	(3,387)	(3,526)	(1,234)	(11,100)
Studies	0	500	129	73	155	25	882
Compliance	148	750	1,106	3,140	3,065	578	8,787
Restoration	183	0	137	174	306	631	1,431
Operations & Maintenance	6,662	7,200	8,055	28,402	3,906	1,621	55,846
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	25,828	1,003	0	0	0	0	26,831
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>32,821</b>	<b>9,453</b>	<b>9,427</b>	<b>31,789</b>	<b>7,432</b>	<b>2,855</b>	<b>93,777</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>32,821</b>	<b>9,453</b>	<b>9,427</b>	<b>31,789</b>	<b>7,432</b>	<b>2,855</b>	<b>93,777</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(-589)	(-3,732)	(-11,392)	(-20,104)	(-42,451)	(-80,484)	(-158,752)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-37,100	-37,100
Operations	-589	-3,732	-11,392	-20,104	-42,451	-43,384	-121,652
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	466	477	943
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-235	-540	-757	-1,007	-1,635	-1,635	-5,809
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-4	-4	-4	-6	-6	-24
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-589</b>	<b>-3,732</b>	<b>-11,392</b>	<b>-20,104</b>	<b>-41,985</b>	<b>-80,007</b>	<b>-157,809</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(-589)	(-3,732)	(-11,392)	(-20,104)	(-42,451)	(-80,484)	(-158,752)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-37,100	-37,100
Operations	-589	-3,732	-11,392	-20,104	-42,451	-43,384	-121,652
Environmental	(331)	(1,250)	(1,372)	(3,387)	(3,526)	(1,234)	(11,100)
Studies	0	500	129	73	155	25	882
Compliance	148	750	1,106	3,140	3,065	578	8,787
Restoration	183	0	137	174	306	631	1,431
Operations & Maintenance	6,662	7,200	8,055	28,402	4,372	2,098	56,789
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	25,828	1,003	0	0	0	0	26,831
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-235	-540	-757	-1,007	-1,635	-1,635	-5,809
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-4	-4	-4	-6	-6	-24
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>32,232</b>	<b>5,721</b>	<b>-1,965</b>	<b>11,685</b>	<b>-34,553</b>	<b>-77,152</b>	<b>-64,032</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2060 - Public Works Ctr, San Francisco, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of the Navy Public Works Center (PWC) San Francisco Bay. All facilities, including 5,509 units of family housing, are being disposed of. PWC itself is closed. The only remaining disposal is the Novato housing area which includes personnel support areas. Costs are based on planned final disposal by September 2000.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. A Record of Decision for disposal/reuse of PWC San Francisco Bay was issued in July 1998. Interim leasing is expected during 1999 and will require funding for support of NEPA documentation.

Compliance

The Capehart housing area was built after 1960, therefore the LBP issue will be disclosure. But elevated levels were found in the soil, therefore the State and EPA position is that this is a CERCLA release. Some cleanup will be required. Budgeted funding is for revisions to the basewide Environmental Baseline Survey and cleanup of an Underground Storage Tank.

Installation Restoration

PWC San Francisco has one UST site in the installation resoration program. Cleanup of this site has been completed. The site will undergo LTO/LTM in FY-99 through FY-02 and will then be closed.

Operations and Maintenance

The bulk of the PWC San Francisco Bay real property assets are included with assets of other closing bases. Most of the real estate costs for the PWC are

associated with disposal of off-base housing at DODHF Novato. Costs include caretaker, real estate and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, surveys, and marketing efforts.

Additional O&M costs include the following: severance, separation and relocation costs for civilian personnel, disposal of material and equipment, shutdown and securing of facilities, and moving military residents to new local housing.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if property is transferred or sold at fair market or discounted value.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Family Housing Construction

Projects have been cancelled.

#### Family Housing Operations

PWC San Francisco Bay is the owner of all Navy family housing units in the Bay area. The housing inventory at the PWC totals 5,509 units. In accordance with the closure schedule provided by the PWC, 4,993 family housing units will either be closed or transferred to other services by the end of FY 1997. The balance of the units (those at NCS Stockton - 41 units; NWS Concord - 361 units; and Oakland Army Base - 112 units) will revert back to the plant accounts of each respective command.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Savings occur in the DBOF accounts of customers who had purchased services from the PWC.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1470 - Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-3,791	-3,791
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-3,791</b>	<b>-3,791</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	-3,791	-3,791
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-3,791</b>	<b>-3,791</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1470 - Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Relocate tactical missile depot maintenance (principally affecting STANDARD missile) and consolidate at Letterkenny Army Depot as planned by DoD in the Tactical Missile Maintenance Consolidation Plan for Letterkenny Army Depot. The realignment occurred throughout FY 1996.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None.

Military Construction

Savings result from removal of projects which were in the FYDP.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1260 - Naval Station, Staten Island, NY

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	4,960	1,200	0	0	0	0	6,160
Environmental	(245)	(700)	(1,159)	(1,623)	(165)	(0)	(3,892)
Studies	0	0	0	5	25	0	30
Compliance	48	700	1,159	1,618	140	0	3,665
Restoration	197	0	0	0	0	0	197
Operations & Maintenance	35,294	88,612	181	117	143	39	124,386
Military Personnel - PCS	730	0	0	0	0	0	730
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>41,229</b>	<b>90,512</b>	<b>1,340</b>	<b>1,740</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>135,168</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>41,229</b>	<b>90,512</b>	<b>1,340</b>	<b>1,740</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>135,168</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	-420	0	0	-12,491	0	-994	-13,905
Family Housing	(-7,161)	(-2,723)	(-2,825)	(-3,928)	(-3,035)	(-3,143)	(-22,815)
Construction	-7,161	0	0	0	0	0	-7,161
Operations	0	-2,723	-2,825	-3,928	-3,035	-3,143	-15,654
Operations & Maintenance	-3,681	-24,788	-25,319	-26,186	-27,180	-28,228	-135,382
Military Personnel - PCS	-2,999	-9,623	-17,224	-18,015	-18,338	-18,827	-85,026
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-363	-337	-321	-320	-320	-320	-1,981
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-387	-360	-359	-356	-356	-1,818
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-14,261</b>	<b>-37,134</b>	<b>-45,368</b>	<b>-60,620</b>	<b>-48,553</b>	<b>-51,192</b>	<b>-257,128</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	4,540	1,200	0	-12,491	0	-994	-7,745
Family Housing	(-7,161)	(-2,723)	(-2,825)	(-3,928)	(-3,035)	(-3,143)	(-22,815)
Construction	-7,161	0	0	0	0	0	-7,161
Operations	0	-2,723	-2,825	-3,928	-3,035	-3,143	-15,654
Environmental	(245)	(700)	(1,159)	(1,623)	(165)	(0)	(3,892)
Studies	0	0	0	5	25	0	30
Compliance	48	700	1,159	1,618	140	0	3,665
Restoration	197	0	0	0	0	0	197
Operations & Maintenance	31,613	63,824	-25,138	-26,069	-27,037	-28,189	-10,996
Military Personnel - PCS	-2,269	-9,623	-17,224	-18,015	-18,338	-18,827	-84,296
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-363	-337	-321	-320	-320	-320	-1,981
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-387	-360	-359	-356	-356	-1,818
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>26,968</b>	<b>53,378</b>	<b>-44,028</b>	<b>-58,880</b>	<b>-48,245</b>	<b>-51,153</b>	<b>-121,960</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1260 - Naval Station, Staten Island, NY**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

The 1993 Commission recommended the closure of Naval Station (NAVSTA), New York, Staten Island. Operational closure was on 31 August 1994. NAVSTA Staten Island, New York homeported ships have relocated or have been decommissioned. The Shore Intermediate Maintenance Activity (SIMA) has relocated to Earle, NJ; SIMA Norfolk, VA; and to SIMA Mayport, FL.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994 Amount (\$000) -----
P-011T EARLE NWS	Building Conversions	4,960
	Subtotal	4,960
		FY1995 Amount (\$000) -----
P-007T EARLE NWS	PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY	1,200
	Subtotal	1,200
	Total	6,160

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include real estate and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, and marketing efforts.

Maintenance of real property and utilities are budgeted based on an as required basis to meet base reuse while minimizing cost. On-going minimal level of care is until Front Street property is disposed.

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

No sales revenues were realized from the completed Federal transfers and reversions to the City of New York. The remaining property, less than one acre, is planned for public sale; land sales revenues will only be received if this sale is consummated.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Projects in the FYDP have been deleted.

#### Family Housing Construction

The FY 1994 revitalization project is no longer needed.

#### Family Housing Operations

Savings are the result of inactivation of government owned units.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Savings accrue from disestablishing the station and some tenants. Recurring costs will increase at NAVSTA Norfolk for support of CG-60 and at PHIBASE

Little Creek for support of CBU 423. Funding for these costs is available from the savings realized from closing NAVSTA New York.

Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.





**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1150 - Naval Station, Treasure Island, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	22,700	14,050	0	0	0	36,750
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(5,011)	(3,909)	(2,506)	(10,331)	(8,766)	(9,464)	(39,987)
Studies	0	280	32	102	305	190	909
Compliance	2,665	629	733	4,037	4,473	1,198	13,735
Restoration	2,346	3,000	1,741	6,192	3,988	8,076	25,343
Operations & Maintenance	2,960	1,465	7,551	6,538	6,231	3,494	28,239
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	60	843	0	0	903
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>7,971</b>	<b>28,074</b>	<b>24,167</b>	<b>17,712</b>	<b>14,997</b>	<b>12,958</b>	<b>105,879</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>7,971</b>	<b>28,074</b>	<b>24,167</b>	<b>17,712</b>	<b>14,997</b>	<b>12,958</b>	<b>105,879</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	-4,825	0	0	0	-4,825
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-6,973	-9,644	-9,828	-11,769	-22,088	-23,257	-83,559
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-171	-350	-7,676	-15,259	-15,621	-39,077
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-2	-2	-9	-135	-134	-282
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-7	-7	-395	-394	-394	-1,197
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-6,973</b>	<b>-9,815</b>	<b>-15,003</b>	<b>-19,445</b>	<b>-37,347</b>	<b>-38,878</b>	<b>-127,461</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	22,700	9,225	0	0	0	31,925
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(5,011)	(3,909)	(2,506)	(10,331)	(8,766)	(9,464)	(39,987)
Studies	0	280	32	102	305	190	909
Compliance	2,665	629	733	4,037	4,473	1,198	13,735
Restoration	2,346	3,000	1,741	6,192	3,988	8,076	25,343
Operations & Maintenance	-4,013	-8,179	-2,277	-5,231	-15,857	-19,763	-55,320
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-171	-290	-6,833	-15,259	-15,621	-38,174
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-2	-2	-9	-135	-134	-282
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-7	-7	-395	-394	-394	-1,197
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>998</b>	<b>18,259</b>	<b>9,164</b>	<b>-1,733</b>	<b>-22,350</b>	<b>-25,920</b>	<b>-21,582</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1150 - Naval Station, Treasure Island, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Naval Station (NS) Treasure Island closed on 30 September 1997, following the migration/elimination of tenants and closure of facilities at both Treasure Island and Hunters Point Annex. The principal receiving sites were Naval Training Center Great Lakes, IL, Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek, VA, and Reserve Center Alameda, CA. This budget was based on a planned final disposal date of December 2002.

Transfers of small parcels to the Department of Labor, for a Job Corps Center, and to the Coast Guard occurred in March 1998 and April 1998, respectively.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1995-1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-600T GREAT LAKES NTC	HT "C" SCHOOL PHASE II	22,700
P-149T ALAMEDA NMCRC	RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	7,300
P-390T LITTLE CREEK NAB	UNDERWAY REPLENISH OPERATOR TRAI	4,100
P-601T GREAT LAKES NTC	ELEVATOR TRAINER SCHOOL	2,650
	Total	36,750

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is being prepared to analyze the impacts resulting from Navy disposal of land and facilities at NS Treasure Island. Preparation of NEPA documentation to support interim leasing prior to property transfer is also ongoing.

### Compliance

An Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) for Treasure Island is complete. Five Site Specific Environmental Baseline Surveys (SSEBSs), six Findings of Suitability to Lease (FOSL), and four large-parcel EBSs/FOSLs are complete. A Radon Survey is complete, with no mitigation required. A PCB survey is complete. Underground storage tanks (USTs) have been removed or closed in place. Ten thousand feet of fuel lines have been removed. Required lead based paint and asbestos abatement were begun. Requirements include finalizing the asbestos abatements throughout the base, lead based paint abatement for some housing units, long term monitoring for one UST, and updating the EBS as required to facilitate FOSLs and Findings of Suitability to Transfer (FOSTs) supporting conveyance of the property.

### Installation Restoration

The PA/SI was completed and identified 28 IR sites, with no further action recommended for 3 sites. One site was transferred to the UST program. During the remedial investigation, three additional sites were identified and were included in the IR program. There are 25 IR sites in various phases of the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Studies (RI/FS). RI/FS are scheduled for completion in FY 1999. All sites requiring cleanup are scheduled for remedial design and remedial action in FY 1999-2001.

### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the closure of the activity. Also included are caretaker, real estate, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title search, surveys, and lease arrangements.

### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

### Other

No requirement.

### Land Sales Revenues

Two small parcels have been transferred to the Department of Labor and the Coast Guard at no cost. The remaining property is planned for disposal under an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC). Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the EDC includes monetary compensation to the Navy.

## **SAVINGS**

### Military Construction

MCON projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

#### Family Housing Operations

None. Savings for family housing are included in the PWC San Francisco budget.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Procurement of nominal amounts of waterfront/communication items will no longer be required. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from closure of the activity.

#### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1440 - Naval Shipyard, Mare Island, CA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	13,500	22,096	0	0	0	0	35,596
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(45,397)	(29,099)	(16,643)	(17,804)	(28,165)	(21,672)	(158,780)
Studies	250	150	88	56	365	105	1,014
Compliance	11,632	24,949	12,934	9,763	18,403	10,897	88,578
Restoration	33,515	4,000	3,621	7,985	9,397	10,670	69,188
Operations & Maintenance	71,322	170,754	127,648	37,493	8,348	7,425	422,990
Military Personnel - PCS	500	208	221	0	0	0	929
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>130,719</b>	<b>222,157</b>	<b>144,512</b>	<b>55,297</b>	<b>36,513</b>	<b>29,097</b>	<b>618,295</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>130,719</b>	<b>222,157</b>	<b>144,512</b>	<b>55,297</b>	<b>36,513</b>	<b>29,097</b>	<b>618,295</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	-2,900	-15,608	-1,580	0	0	-20,088
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-826	-4,515	-98,375	-108,665	-111,062	-113,513	-436,956
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-2,490	-5,073	-5,173	-5,293	-18,029
Other	0	0	-56,881	-58,132	-59,411	-60,718	-235,142
Civilian ES (End Strength)	458	-1,875	-4,707	-6,811	-5,605	-6,002	-24,542
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-144	-144	-144	-144	-576
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-826</b>	<b>-7,415</b>	<b>-173,354</b>	<b>-173,450</b>	<b>-175,646</b>	<b>-179,524</b>	<b>-710,215</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	13,500	19,196	-15,608	-1,580	0	0	15,508
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(45,397)	(29,099)	(16,643)	(17,804)	(28,165)	(21,672)	(158,780)
Studies	250	150	88	56	365	105	1,014
Compliance	11,632	24,949	12,934	9,763	18,403	10,897	88,578
Restoration	33,515	4,000	3,621	7,985	9,397	10,670	69,188
Operations & Maintenance	70,496	166,239	29,273	-71,172	-102,714	-106,088	-13,966
Military Personnel - PCS	500	208	-2,269	-5,073	-5,173	-5,293	-17,100
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-56,881	-58,132	-59,411	-60,718	-235,142
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	458	-1,875	-4,707	-6,811	-5,605	-6,002	-24,542
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-144	-144	-144	-144	-576
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>129,893</b>	<b>214,742</b>	<b>-28,842</b>	<b>-118,153</b>	<b>-139,133</b>	<b>-150,427</b>	<b>-91,920</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1440 - Naval Shipyard, Mare Island, CA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Mare Island Naval Shipyard (NSY) closed on 1 April 1996, with relocation of the Combat Systems Technical Schools Command activity to Dam Neck, Virginia, and one submarine to the Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington. Final disposal is planned for April 2003.

One small parcel on Mare Island has been transferred to the United States Forest Service for a regional headquarters.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994-1995 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-195T BANGOR NSB	PARCHE RELOCATION, PHASE I & II	9,450
P-996T DAM NECK FCTC	TRAINING BLDG MODS	4,050
P-088T EVERETT NS	CBU FACILITY	2,000
P-221T CORONADO NAB	WATERFRONT OPS FACILITY	2,539
P-283T CRANE NSWC	RECHARGEABLE BATTERY EVALUATION F	3,877
P-323T CONCORD NWS	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT OVERHAUL FACIL	2,480
P-995T DAM NECK FCTC	BEQ	11,200
	Total	35,596

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was prepared to analyze the impacts resulting from Navy disposal of land and facilities at Mare Island NSY. Preparation of NEPA documentation to support interim leasing prior to property transfer is ongoing.

### Compliance

An Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) is complete. Asbestos surveys were performed, and required abatement has begun. Lead Based Paint surveys of residential housing were completed, and required abatement has begun. Lead Based Paint soil abatement of Roosevelt Terrace Housing was completed. Radon mitigation is not required. To facilitate efficient and timely property leasing, six separate "large parcel" Site Specific EBS and Finding of Suitability to Lease (SEBS/FOSL) reports were completed. A Federal to Federal property transfer "Summary Document" and supporting SEBS documents were completed for one parcel that transferred to the U. S. Forest Service in November 1997. To date, significant effort has been spent on updating the EBS database; removing lease property restrictions to allow leased properties to become suitable for occupancy; and preparing Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST) documents to facilitate property transfer. Asbestos abatement actions for the remaining structures is ongoing, as is required lead based paint abatement. Investigation and remediation of UST sites and fuel line contaminated sites is ongoing. Remediation of aboveground storage tanks is scheduled for completion. There are 16 solid waste management units (SWMUs) requiring remediation.

### Installation Restoration

The environmental requirements are linked to the LRA's plan for reuse. The property is being developed for mixed use, including light and heavy industrial, offices, education, housing and recreation. Most of the property slated for reuse will be conveyed to the City of Vallejo via EDC and a PBC for a school. One parcel has been transferred to the Army, Coast Guard, and Fish and Wildlife Service. The remaining property, consisting mostly of wetlands and submerged lands, will revert to the State of California. The EDC will require the City to acquire individual parcels as soon as cleanup is complete. All sites requiring cleanup are scheduled to start remedial design and remedial action in FY 1999.

### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the closure of the activity. Also included are caretaker, real estate, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, parcel surveys, and lease arrangements. In addition, costs for low-level radioactive waste disposal, other nuclear propulsion closure requirements, unique function and equipment relocations, and radiological surveys and studies are included.

### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

No land sales revenues were received or will be received for the Federal transfers, the education public benefit conveyance, or the reversions to the State of California. The remaining property is planned for disposal under an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC). Proceeds from land sales will only be realized if the EDC includes monetary compensation to the Navy.

#### **SAVINGS**

##### Military Construction

Projects which were in the FYDP were cancelled.

##### Family Housing Operations

None. Savings for family housing are included in the PWC San Francisco budget.

##### Operations and Maintenance

Consists of DBOF, including civilian end strength and compensation reductions, and base support savings to regular shipyard customers. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from closure of the activity.

##### Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

##### Other

Customer savings as a result of closing a DBOF activity.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1760 - NAWC-Aircraft Division, Trenton, NJ

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	2,000	77,155	0	0	0	79,155
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(1,722)	(3,106)	(2,926)	(3,940)	(8,321)	(260)	(20,275)
Studies	0	50	4	225	152	25	456
Compliance	832	1,056	1,314	1,315	5,906	10	10,433
Restoration	890	2,000	1,608	2,400	2,263	225	9,386
Operations & Maintenance	1,515	5,948	10,324	12,304	21,173	4,750	56,014
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	8,967	0	0	0	0	8,967
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>3,237</b>	<b>20,021</b>	<b>90,405</b>	<b>16,244</b>	<b>29,494</b>	<b>5,010</b>	<b>164,411</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>3,237</b>	<b>20,021</b>	<b>90,405</b>	<b>16,244</b>	<b>29,494</b>	<b>5,010</b>	<b>164,411</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	-531	0	0	0	-531
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	-3,057	-3,124	-6,181
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	-10,674	-10,908	-21,582
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-167	-269	-269	-269	-974
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-8
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-531</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-13,731</b>	<b>-14,032</b>	<b>-28,294</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	2,000	76,624	0	0	0	78,624
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(1,722)	(3,106)	(2,926)	(3,940)	(8,321)	(260)	(20,275)
Studies	0	50	4	225	152	25	456
Compliance	832	1,056	1,314	1,315	5,906	10	10,433
Restoration	890	2,000	1,608	2,400	2,263	225	9,386
Operations & Maintenance	1,515	5,948	10,324	12,304	18,116	1,626	49,833
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	8,967	0	0	-10,674	-10,908	-12,615
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-167	-269	-269	-269	-974
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	-8
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>3,237</b>	<b>20,021</b>	<b>89,874</b>	<b>16,244</b>	<b>15,763</b>	<b>-9,022</b>	<b>136,117</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1760 - NAWC-Aircraft Division, Trenton, NJ**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Close the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division (NAWC AD), Trenton and relocate functions to the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division Patuxent River, MD, and the Arnold Engineering Development Center, Tullahoma, TN. Operational was 15 December 1998. Disposal is planned for July 1999.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

	FY1995 Amount (\$000) -----
P-160T ARNOLD AFB ENGDEV CEN    ENGINE TEST FAC ALTERATIONS	2,000
Subtotal	2,000
	FY1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-159T ARNOLD AFB ENGDEV CEN    PROPULSION SYSTEM LAB	51,405
P-953T PATUXENT RIVER            PROPULSION SYSTEM EVAL FAC	25,750
Subtotal	77,155
Total	79,155

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions. An EA is being prepared for the NEPA documentation to support the disposal of NAWC AD Trenton. Funding is required to support interim leasing and coordination with reuse plan.

### Compliance

NAWC Trenton. An asbestos inventory is complete, with abatement underway for completion in 1998 for the friable, accessible and damaged (FAD) asbestos. A Radon survey was completed in 1993, with no mitigation required. Lead-Based Paint (LBP) Abatement is not planned since there are no housing units at NAWC nor planned residential reuse requiring compliance with Navy policy or HUD guidelines. There are no Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) issues since all PCB transformers and switch gear have been removed. Underground Storage Tanks (UST) not meeting federal standards have been removed with the exception of 5 sumps (sumps are regulated as USTs under NJ regulations). They will require closure and/or removal by the end of 1998. An Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) and BRAC Cleanup Plan (BCP), updated annually in the form of the Environmental Business Plan, for NAWC have been completed. Expanded site investigations for Areas of Concern (AOCs) under EBS Phase II are underway and will be brought into compliance or otherwise closed out by the end of 1998.

### Installation Restoration

NAWC Trenton has non-NPL status. Nine (9) Installation Restoration (IR) Program sites were identified for further investigation in the Remedial Investigation (RI). A No Further Action (NFA), decision document for soils has been submitted to the regulators for six (6) of nine (9) sites and is awaiting concurrence. A soil removal action has been completed at one (1) site, investigations are continuing at the other sites, with planned remediation by selected soil removal combined with engineering/institutional controls (E/ICs). The major area of concern is the trichloroethylene (TCE) groundwater contamination, which is being removed by a pump and treat system. The funding required is for operations of a groundwater treatment system for the TCE plume.

### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include real estate and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposition of the property. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, surveys, and marketing efforts.

Costs also include caretaker, program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity. Maintenance of real property and utilities are budgeted based on an as required basis to meet base reuse while minimizing cost.

### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

### Other

Includes procurement and installation of special test equipment, control systems and data acquisition systems. It also includes procurement and installation of test equipment data and instrumentation, fuel and electrical systems necessary to transfer test facilities and labs to Patuxent River, MD. Also includes communications costs such as a line data link between AEDC Tullahoma and Patuxent River, as well as wiring at Patuxent River for computers and telephones.



#### Land Sales Revenues

One parcel of NAWC Trenton is planned for a public benefit conveyance for airport use, so no land sales revenues will be realized. The remaining property is planned for public sale; land sales revenues will only be realized if this sale is consummated.

#### **SAVINGS**

##### Military Construction

Savings are the result of removing projects from the FYDP.

##### Operations and Maintenance

Savings represent the aggregate savings of closing NAWC Trenton and transitioning workload to NAWC Patuxent River and AEDC Tullahoma. Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the

realignment or closure of the activity.

##### Other

Savings represent the aggregate savings of closing NAWC Trenton and transitioning workload to NAWC Patuxent River and AEDC Tullahoma.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1730 - NCCOSC, ISE (East Coast)

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	33,600	9,800	0	0	0	0	43,400
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	3,029	7,649	9,420	10,248	6,177	0	36,523
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6	2	0	0	8
Other	0	624	3,659	165	0	0	4,448
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>36,629</b>	<b>18,073</b>	<b>13,085</b>	<b>10,415</b>	<b>6,177</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>84,379</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>36,629</b>	<b>18,073</b>	<b>13,085</b>	<b>10,415</b>	<b>6,177</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>84,379</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	-5,108	0	0	0	-5,108
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	-907	-6,874	-7,006	-7,140	-21,927
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-10,722	-10,958	-11,199	-32,879
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-10	-15	-17	-17	-23	-82
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-3	-4	-4	-10	-10	-31
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-6,015</b>	<b>-17,596</b>	<b>-17,964</b>	<b>-18,339</b>	<b>-59,914</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	33,600	9,800	-5,108	0	0	0	38,292
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	3,029	7,649	8,513	3,374	-829	-7,140	14,596
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6	2	0	0	8
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	624	3,659	-10,557	-10,958	-11,199	-28,431
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-10	-15	-17	-17	-23	-82
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-3	-4	-4	-10	-10	-31
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>36,629</b>	<b>18,073</b>	<b>7,070</b>	<b>-7,181</b>	<b>-11,787</b>	<b>-18,339</b>	<b>24,465</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1730 - NCCOSC, ISE (East Coast)**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

NISE East will consolidate in Charleston, SC with detachments remaining in Portsmouth, VA and St. Inigoes, MD. NISE East was established in January 1994. NISE East Washington closed following the relocation to Charleston of its functions and personnel and all NISE East Washington facilities reverted to the host, the Naval Security Station. All NISE East St. Inigoes functions and personnel have relocated to Charleston except for positions which will remain at NISE East, St. Inigoes to perform air traffic control, LAMPS, IDS, AEGIS radio room, special warfare, and related functions. By the end of FY 1998, all NISE East Portsmouth functions and personnel relocated to Charleston except for those positions which remain at NISE East Portsmouth to provide direct support to Norfolk-area Fleet units.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1994 Amount (\$000) -----
P-001T CHARLESTON NESEC	ENGINEERING CENTER PHASE I	33,600
	Subtotal	33,600
		FY1995 Amount (\$000) -----
P-002T CHARLESTON NESEC	ENGINEERING CENTER PHASE II	9,800
	Subtotal	9,800
	Total	43,400

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. An Environmental Assessment (EA) began in FY 1994 to analyze the cumulative impacts of

relocation of assets to NISE East Charleston from NISE East St. Inigoes, NISE East Portsmouth, and NISE East Washington. Issues addressed in the EA included impacts to wetlands, endangered species, increased air and water impacts, traffic impacts, and changes in land use resulting from realignment and associated military construction. This realignment EA was completed in June 1994 and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was issued on 15 September 1994.

#### Compliance

No requirement.

#### Installation Restoration

No requirement.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the realignment of the activity.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and for operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned.

#### Other

Costs include equipment to outfit the MILCON project constructed in Charleston, SC.

#### Land Sales Revenues

None.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Military Construction

Projects which were in the FYDP have been removed.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary and other operating savings resulting from the realignment of the activity.

#### Other

Customer savings as a result of the realignment of DBOF activities.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1740 - NSWC-White Oak, MD

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	10,300	0	0	0	10,300
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(3,500)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(3,500)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	3,500	0	0	0	0	3,500
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	15,200	9,686	9,930	5,314	306	0	40,436
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	29	0	29
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>15,200</b>	<b>13,186</b>	<b>20,230</b>	<b>5,314</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54,265</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>15,200</b>	<b>13,186</b>	<b>20,230</b>	<b>5,314</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>54,265</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	-408	-819	-837	-856	-2,920
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-1,253	-2,515	-2,571	-2,628	-8,967
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-55	-55	-55	-55	-220
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-2	-20	-20	-42
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-1,661</b>	<b>-3,334</b>	<b>-3,408</b>	<b>-3,484</b>	<b>-11,887</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	10,300	0	0	0	10,300
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(3,500)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(3,500)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	3,500	0	0	0	0	3,500
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	15,200	9,686	9,522	4,495	-531	-856	37,516
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	29	0	29
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-1,253	-2,515	-2,571	-2,628	-8,967
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	-55	-55	-55	-55	-220
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	-2	-20	-20	-42
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>15,200</b>	<b>13,186</b>	<b>18,569</b>	<b>1,980</b>	<b>-3,073</b>	<b>-3,484</b>	<b>42,378</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1740 - NSWC-White Oak, MD**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Disestablish NSWC Dahlgren Division, White Oak Detachment, White Oak, MD, and transfer functions to NSWC Indian Head Division, Indian Head, MD, and NSWC Dahlgren Division, Dahlgren, VA. Projected realignment is FY 1998.

Disestablish the Port Hueneme Division, Virginia Beach Detachment, Virginia Beach, VA and realign with the Fleet Combat Training Center (FCTC), Dam Neck, VA. The DOD BRAC 1995 recommendations impact this realignment action.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-146T INDIAN HEAD NSWC	EXPLOSIVE TEST FACILITY	10,300
	Subtotal	10,300
	Total	10,300

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defence Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets to NSWC Dahlgren was Categorically Excluded from further NEPA documentation. An Environmental Assessment covered relocation of assets to NSWC Indian Head. Relocation of assets to FCTCLANT is expected to be Categorically Excluded.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, building closure costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

None.

#### **SAVINGS**

#### Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

#### Other

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1770 - NUWC, Norfolk, VA

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	9,875	10,254	1,097	0	0	0	21,226
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>9,875</b>	<b>10,254</b>	<b>1,097</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21,226</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>9,875</b>	<b>10,254</b>	<b>1,097</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21,226</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	433	2,646	2,531	2,505	8,115
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-7,916	-8,547	-9,076	-9,198	-34,737
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-25	-83	-95	-95	-95	-95	-488
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-7,483</b>	<b>-5,901</b>	<b>-6,545</b>	<b>-6,693</b>	<b>-26,622</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	9,875	10,254	1,530	2,646	2,531	2,505	29,341
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	-7,916	-8,547	-9,076	-9,198	-34,737
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-25	-83	-95	-95	-95	-95	-488
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>9,875</b>	<b>10,254</b>	<b>-6,386</b>	<b>-5,901</b>	<b>-6,545</b>	<b>-6,693</b>	<b>-5,396</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1770 - NUWC, Norfolk, VA**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Disestablish the Naval Undersea Warfare Center Detachment, Norfolk (NUWCDETNR) and relocate its functions, personnel, equipment and support to the Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division (NUWC DIVNPT). Disestablishment was completed 30 September 1995.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement. A categorical exclusion was prepared for the relocation.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, equipment removal and transportation, and space modification costs at receiving site. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the disestablishment and relocation of the activity.

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

Operations and Maintenance

Includes civilian personnel salary savings resulting from the realignment or closure of the activity and the recurring costs for long term lease payments to fulfill a contractual obligation.

Other

Savings represented by the elimination of redundant laboratory equipment and space. Savings have been reflected in the customer appropriations of this DBOF activity group.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1960 - Stand-alone Navy & MC Reserve Centers

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	2,500	0	0	0	0	2,500
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(645)	(801)	(585)	(817)	(866)	(71)	(3,785)
Studies	0	0	15	115	375	20	525
Compliance	421	300	570	702	491	51	2,535
Restoration	224	501	0	0	0	0	725
Operations & Maintenance	83	737	392	94	212	85	1,603
Military Personnel - PCS	450	380	0	0	0	0	830
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>1,178</b>	<b>4,418</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>911</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>8,718</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>1,178</b>	<b>4,418</b>	<b>977</b>	<b>911</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>8,718</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	-4,144	0	0	0	-4,144
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-3,501	-2,991	-3,684	-3,107	-3,114	-3,111	-19,508
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-5,827	-10,865	-10,953	-11,133	-11,368	-50,146
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-36	-36	-36	-36	-36	-180
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-245	-245	-245	-245	-245	-1,225
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-3,501</b>	<b>-8,818</b>	<b>-18,693</b>	<b>-14,060</b>	<b>-14,247</b>	<b>-14,479</b>	<b>-73,798</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	2,500	-4,144	0	0	0	-1,644
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(645)	(801)	(585)	(817)	(866)	(71)	(3,785)
Studies	0	0	15	115	375	20	525
Compliance	421	300	570	702	491	51	2,535
Restoration	224	501	0	0	0	0	725
Operations & Maintenance	-3,418	-2,254	-3,292	-3,013	-2,902	-3,026	-17,905
Military Personnel - PCS	450	-5,447	-10,865	-10,953	-11,133	-11,368	-49,316
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	-36	-36	-36	-36	-36	-180
Military ES (End Strength)	0	-245	-245	-245	-245	-245	-1,225
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-2,323</b>	<b>-4,400</b>	<b>-17,716</b>	<b>-13,149</b>	<b>-13,169</b>	<b>-14,323</b>	<b>-65,080</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1960 - Stand-alone Navy & MC Reserve Centers**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Naval Reserve Surface Activities (Stand Alone), Naval Reserve Centers (NRC) and Readiness Commands (REDCOM) support the administration and training of Naval Surface Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve units. The Commission recommended the closure of 34 Reserve Centers and Readiness Commands.

The DoD BRAC 1995 recommendations impacted these closure actions. The Naval Reserve Centers at Quincy, Chicopee and Lawrence, Massachusetts have consolidated at Naval Air Station (NAS), South Weymouth, Massachusetts. BRAC 1995 recommended the closure of NAS South Weymouth and includes a redirect of the consolidated NRCs from NAS South Weymouth to the now vacant property at NRC, Quincy.

Although Caretaker services vary for each NRC, as do property disposal and transfers, the latest date for termination is June 1999.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

		FY1995 Amount (\$000) -----
P-127T HELENA NMCRC	RESERVE CENTER	2,500
	Subtotal	2,500
	Total	2,500

A reprogramming has been submitted and approved making this an FY1997 project.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

## Environmental

### Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of disposal/reuse actions:

NRC JAMESTOWN, NY: As a public sale is contemplated, an Environmental Assessment in accordance with NEPA is being completed. Funding for a modification to the EA to support disposal by public sale is required during FY 1999.

### Compliance

Asbestos, Lead Base Paint, and Underground Storage Tank removals are being accomplished as required for land transfer.

### Installation Restoration

No requirement.

## Operations and Maintenance

Costs include removal/relocation of dedicated communication and telephone lines, minor building/restoration costs, equipment removal and transportation, relocations and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee severance entitlement. Also included are caretaker, real estate disposal, and other related labor, support, and contractual requirements necessary to complete disposal of Navy owned properties. Contractual costs cover appraisals, title searches, surveys, and marketing efforts. Maintenance of real property and utilities are budgeted based on an as required basis to meet base reuse while minimizing cost.

## Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

## Other

No requirement.

## Land Sales Revenues

Disposals to date have been through lease termination, Federal transfers, and public benefit conveyances; no land sales revenues have been realized. NRC Lawrence will revert to the City of Lawrence, so no land sales revenues will be realized from that property. The Reserve Centers at Jamestown, Perth Amboy, and Pittsfield are planned for public/negotiated sale, but revenues will only be realized if these sales are consummated.

## **SAVINGS**

None.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 1790 - PERA Activities

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	4,729	0	0	0	0	4,729
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	336	6,921	0	577	3,526	11,360
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,065</b>	<b>6,921</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>3,526</b>	<b>16,089</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,065</b>	<b>6,921</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>3,526</b>	<b>16,089</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-659	-1,652	-3,786	-5,261	-6,843	-8,057	-26,258
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-23	-43	-64	-91	-105	-118	-444
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-659</b>	<b>-1,652</b>	<b>-3,786</b>	<b>-5,261</b>	<b>-6,843</b>	<b>-8,057</b>	<b>-26,258</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	4,729	0	0	0	0	4,729
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	-659	-1,316	3,135	-5,261	-6,266	-4,531	-14,898
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	-23	-43	-64	-91	-105	-118	-444
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-659</b>	<b>3,413</b>	<b>3,135</b>	<b>-5,261</b>	<b>-6,266</b>	<b>-4,531</b>	<b>-10,169</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**1790 - PERA Activities**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

PERA (Surface) headquarters at Philadelphia, PERA (Surface) Atlantic office at Norfolk, and PERA (Surface) Pacific office at San Francisco were disestablished in August 1996. PERA (CV) headquarters at Bremerton will be disestablished in September 1999. Reduced functions and assets will subsequently be relocated and consolidated with Supervisors of Shipbuilding, Conversion and Repair (SUPSHIPS) at Portsmouth, VA (in Norfolk Naval Shipyard), San Diego, CA and Newport News, VA.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

	FY1995 Amount (\$000) -----
P-366T PORTSMOUTH (NORFOLK) BUILDING RENOVATIONS	4,729
Total	4,729

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions. Relocation of assets from PERA (SURFACE) HQ Philadelphia, PERA (SURFACE) ATL Norfolk, PERA (SURFACE) PAC San Francisco, and PERA CV Bremerton to SUPSHIPS Portsmouth, SUPSHIPS San Diego, and SUPSHIPS Newport News has been categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

#### Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

#### Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

#### Other

No requirement.

#### Land Sales Revenues

None.

### **SAVINGS**

#### Operations and Maintenance

Reflects civilian end strength savings and related support cost savings. Consolidation of offices will result in some reductions of administrative costs and decreased overhead.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: ZZZZ - National Capital Region

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	-470	16,302	-8,661	-45,310	-69,713	-107,852
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-2,285	-3,177	-3,952	-4,039	-13,453
Other	0	0	0	-513	-525	-535	-1,573
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	225	-144	-144	-144	-207
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-73	-113	-113	-113	-412
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-470</b>	<b>14,017</b>	<b>-12,351</b>	<b>-49,787</b>	<b>-74,287</b>	<b>-122,878</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	-470	16,302	-8,661	-45,310	-69,713	-107,852
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-2,285	-3,177	-3,952	-4,039	-13,453
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	-513	-525	-535	-1,573
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	225	-144	-144	-144	-207
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	-73	-113	-113	-113	-412
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-470</b>	<b>14,017</b>	<b>-12,351</b>	<b>-49,787</b>	<b>-74,287</b>	<b>-122,878</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**ZZZZ - National Capital Region**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

Proposed action realigns six major commands and relocates some of them out of the National Capital Region. The proposed action relocates other organizations from leased spaces into government-owned spaces within the National Capital Region, eliminating commercial lease costs and maximizing economies and efficiencies. Relocation of the Sea Automated Data Systems Activity is covered separately in this budget submit. The DOD BRAC 1995 recommendations impact these realignment actions.

A portion of the Navy Human Resources Office (HRO) relocated to the Washington Navy Yard in FY 1994. The Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFACENGCOM), and the Navy Judge Advocate General (JAG) Office, relocate to the Washington Navy Yard (WNY) in FY 1998. The National Capital Region Field Office and the Naval Audit Service will also relocate to the Washington Navy Yard.

The Office of the Director of Strategic Systems Programs (SSP), Office of Civilian Personnel Management (OCPM), the International Programs Office (IPO), and the Naval Center for Cost Analysis (NCCA) relocate to the Nebraska Avenue site in Washington D.C.

The following commands relocate to activities outside the National Capital Region: Naval Air Systems Command, Bureau of Naval Personnel, Naval Recruiting Command, Naval Tactical Support Activity (NTSA), Naval Supply Systems Command, and Naval Security Group Command.

Realignment of the Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR) to Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC) Patuxent River, MD where it consolidates with the Naval Aviation Depot Operations Center (NADOC) and the Naval Aviation Maintenance Office (NAMO).

The Naval Supply Systems Command and the Navy Food Systems Support Office (NAVFSSO) relocate to the Navy Ships Parts Control Center (SPCC), in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania. The Defense Printing Service Management Office (DPSMO) consolidated with DLA and relocated to Fort Belvoir, VA. Actions were completed in FY 1996.

The Bureau of Naval Personnel and the Office of Military Manpower Management relocates to Memphis, TN. Included in the move to Memphis are Navy Manpower Analysis Center, Navy Motion Picture Service, and Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Training Unit. These actions will improve the quality of life for the (predominantly junior) military personnel assigned to the relocating activities.

The Naval Security Group Command Headquarters and subordinate commands relocated from the Naval Security Station, Nebraska Avenue, Washington DC, and colocated with the National Security Agency (NSA), Ft. Meade MD. This realignment consolidates Naval Security Group functions currently being conducted at both Nebraska Avenue and Fort Meade. The realignment was completed in FY 1996.

Realign the Navy Tactical Support Activity, including its functions, personnel, equipment, and support to Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Atlantic Fleet (CINCLANTFLT), Norfolk, Virginia. The relocation aligns the Navy Tactical Support Activity with one of its principal customers, the Commander-in-Chief, U.S. Atlantic Fleet.

The Marine Corps Manpower Center personnel relocates to Quantico.

The DOD BRAC 95 recommendation impacts the above closure and realignment actions.

#### **ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

##### Military Construction

		FY94-95 Amount (\$000) -----
P-320T MEMPHIS	BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	6,200
P-321T MEMPHIS	INSTALL TELEPHONE CABLE	2,912
P-951T PATUXENT RIVER	ADMINISTRATIVE HQ FACS (PH I)	40,300
Subtotal	49,412	

  

		FY1996 Amount (\$000) -----
P-002T WASHINGTON NAVY YARD	ADMIN FACS (NCR)	18,354
P-465T QUANTICO MCCDC	MARINE CORPS MANPOWER CENTER	17,406
P-960T PATUXENT RIVER	ADMIN FACS (PHASE II)	29,400
P-322T MEMPHIS	INSTALLATION OF TELEPHONE SWITCH	5,010
P-323T MEMPHIS	BUILDING CONVERSION	1,300
P-324T MEMPHIS	BUILDING CONVERSION	7,400
Subtotal	78,870	

		FY1997 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-325T MEMPHIS	BUILDING ALTERATIONS (BUPERS)	7,100
P-326T MEMPHIS	BUILDING ALTERATIONS (BUPERS)	17,510
	Subtotal	24,610
		FY1998 Amount (\$000)
		-----
P-003T NEBRASKA AVENUE	SSP BUILDING RENOVATIONS	16,866
P-040T WASHINGTON NDW	BUILDING RENOVATION-NAVAUDSVC	8,600
	Subtotal	25,466
	Total	178,358

#### Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

#### Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

#### Environmental

##### Studies

In compliance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation must be completed prior to implementation of realignment/relocation actions.

Original budget submission provided for preparation of a categorical exclusion for the relocation of various NCR Navy commands to the Washington Navy Yard (WNY). Further development of the WNY relocation plan expanded the scope of these relocations and required the preparation of an EA. The EA for realignment of NCR activities to the Washington Navy Yard was completed in March 1996.

An Environmental Assessment (EA) was begun in FY 1994 to analyze the cumulative impacts of relocation of assets from the Bureau of Naval Personnel and accompanying commands to Naval Air Station Memphis. The realignment EA has been completed.

An EA was begun in FY 1994 to analyze the cumulative impacts of relocation of assets of various National Capital Region (NCR) Navy commands to property currently occupied by NAVSECGRUSYSCOM in northwest Washington, D.C. The realignment EA was completed in December 1995.

Relocation of assets from Naval Security Group Command to Fort Meade and relocation of the Naval Tactical Support Activity to Norfolk has been categorically excluded from further NEPA documentation.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

Costs include program management, equipment removal and transportation, relocations, and tenant moving costs. Civilian personnel one-time costs include employee transition assistance, severance entitlements, and permanent change of station as necessary to support the planned realignment or closure of the activity.

Military Personnel -- PCS

PCS costs have been derived by using the average cost factors for unit moves in most cases and operational moves in all other cases. The PCS costs are based on the total end-strength assigned to the particular base, area, or realignment activity that is being affected by the BRAC 93 recommendations.

Other

Budget is structured to provide \$47 million O&M, Navy funds in FY 1997 for relocations of NAVAIR headquarters to Patuxent Reiver. BRAC funding previously budgeted was realigned to fund the accelerated privatization of Naval Air Warfare Center (Aircraft Division) Indianapolis in FY 1997. One-time implementation costs are necessary to procure a new Local Area Network (LAN). Requirements/costing for the new LAN have been based on the Naval Air Systems Command headquarters LAN which is serving as the model system for Navy applications. Procurement items include the purchase and installation of telephone system upgrades.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None.

Operations and Maintenance

Reduction of lease costs, and salary costs for a portion of the civilian positions that will be abolished.

Military Personnel

Savings are the result of a reduction in military billets.

Other

Consolidation and efficiencies of administration and overhead.



**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 9999 - VARLOCS

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(5,277)	(15,188)	(27,066)	(13,029)	(60,560)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	3,693	0	4,090	7,783
Restoration	0	0	5,277	11,495	27,066	8,939	52,777
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,277</b>	<b>15,188</b>	<b>27,066</b>	<b>13,029</b>	<b>60,560</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5,277</b>	<b>15,188</b>	<b>27,066</b>	<b>13,029</b>	<b>60,560</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-2,481	-9,599	-5,297	-5,047	-5,711	-4,633	-32,768
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>-2,481</b>	<b>-9,599</b>	<b>-5,297</b>	<b>-5,047</b>	<b>-5,711</b>	<b>-4,633</b>	<b>-32,768</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(5,277)	(15,188)	(27,066)	(13,029)	(60,560)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	3,693	0	4,090	7,783
Restoration	0	0	5,277	11,495	27,066	8,939	52,777
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	-2,481	-9,599	-5,297	-5,047	-5,711	-4,633	-32,768
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>-2,481</b>	<b>-9,599</b>	<b>-20</b>	<b>10,141</b>	<b>21,355</b>	<b>8,396</b>	<b>27,792</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)





**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**9999 - VARLOCS**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

No Comment.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

Program Management: Funding is for the support of the BRAC Environmental Coordinators (BECs), BRAC Cleanup Teams (BCTs), and Restoration Advisory Boards (RABs). Funding also provides for engineering, technical, contractual, maintenance of administrative records for public information, public repository of documents, and set-up and conducting RAB meetings.

Installation Restoration

DSMOA: Costs reflect the amount of reimbursement for BRAC 95 installations for which the state has an agreement with the Department of Defense (DOD). The state hires employees to oversee cleanup at the DOD installation and is then reimbursed.

ATSDR: The health assessments that are conducted by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) at BRAC closure installations are also covered in these costs. Congress mandates that health assessments be performed by ATSDR and that DOD would fund these costs.

EPA/Task Force: Funding is provided by DOD to EPA for support with DOD's Fast Track Cleanup policy.

Program Management: Funding is for the support of the BRAC Environmental Coordinators (BECs), BRAC Cleanup Teams (BCTs), and Restoration Advisory Board (RABs). Funding also provides for engineering, technical, contractual, maintenance of administrative records for public information, public repository of documents, and set-up and contracting of RAB meetings.

Operations and Maintenance

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None.

**BASE CLOSURE III  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (1993 COMMISSION)  
FINANCIAL SUMMARY  
(\$000)**

Closure/Realignment Location: 2320 - Planning, Design & Management

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	TOTAL
<b>One-time Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	65,900	49,512	44,352	93,824	0	0	253,588
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	3,430	5,757	1,658	1,751	7,955	4,532	25,083
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>69,330</b>	<b>55,269</b>	<b>46,010</b>	<b>95,575</b>	<b>7,955</b>	<b>4,532</b>	<b>278,671</b>
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Budget Request</b>	<b>69,330</b>	<b>55,269</b>	<b>46,010</b>	<b>95,575</b>	<b>7,955</b>	<b>4,532</b>	<b>278,671</b>
<b>Savings</b>							
Military Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total Savings</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>							
Military Construction	65,900	49,512	44,352	93,824	0	0	253,588
Family Housing	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)
Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compliance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Restoration	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	3,430	5,757	1,658	1,751	7,955	4,532	25,083
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sales Revenue (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military ES (End Strength)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Net Implementation Costs</b>	<b>69,330</b>	<b>55,269</b>	<b>46,010</b>	<b>95,575</b>	<b>7,955</b>	<b>4,532</b>	<b>278,671</b>

(\*FY 2000 and FY 2001 BRAC III costs funded in BRAC IV appropriation.)



**BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE III  
(1993 COMMISSION)  
NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

**2320 - Planning, Design & Management**

**CLOSURE/REALIGNMENT ACTION**

These are program costs to provide construction planning and design and other overall program management functions across all closure and realignment packages.

**ONE-TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS**

Military Construction

All MILCON project costs are normally displayed in budget exhibits for the applicable closure/realignment action. These costs are for design and construction contract preparation (Planning & Design (P&D)). However, the FY97 amount shown includes some project costs required to complete various BRACON projects resulting from BRAC 93 actions.

Family Housing Construction

No requirement.

Family Housing Operations

No requirement.

Environmental

Studies

No requirement.

Compliance

No requirement.

Installation Restoration

No requirement.

Operations and Maintenance

\*\*\*\*\*

Provides for costs associated with analysis, administration, coordination, planning, budget and financial review, legislative and legal support, and policy/guidance promulgation and interpretation that is non-site specific and supports the overall management and execution of the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Program. This also includes intergovernmental planning and intraservice coordination, program documentation oversight and review, real estate and caretaker management overview, and support for the Base Closure Implementation Branch at CNO.

Costs are based on actual salaries, fringe benefits, and personnel support requirements.

Military Personnel -- PCS

No requirement.

Other

No requirement.

Land Sales Revenues

None.

**SAVINGS**

None.